

REMARKS

I. OVERVIEW

Applicants have reviewed and considered the Office Action dated August 1, 2006. Claims 29, 30, 31-32, 33 and 34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Claims 1-15, 19, 22-25, 28, 36, 39 and 40 have been amended. Support for these amendments may be found throughout the specification, for example, at page 2, lines 22-24; at page 5, lines 18-21; in claim 39 and at pages 4-5; at page 6, lines 21; at page 9, lines 4-5; and original claim 24. Claim 37 has been canceled. Applicants have submitted a Rule 132 Declaration in response to the section 102 rejections. Upon entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 1-22, 23, 24-28 and 35-36 and 38-40 are pending in the instant application. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the amendments above and remarks that follow.

II. CLAIM OBJECTIONS

A. Claims 10, 19 and 40 are objected to as encompassing non-elected subject matter.

Accordingly, Applicants have removed reference to SEQ ID NOS:11-15 from these claims. In light of the above, Applicants request that this objection be withdrawn.

B. The Examiner states that claim 3 is objected to with the recitation "a Gly Gly Ala Cys Gly" should be "a Gly Gly Ala Cys Gly motif".

Applicants thank the Examiner for pointing out this inadvertent error and have amended claim 3 to replace "a Gly Gly Ala Cys Gly" with "a Gly Gly Ala Cys Gly motif". In light of the above, Applicants request that this objection be withdrawn.

III. CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 24 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter

Accordingly, Applicants have amended claims 24 and 25 so that they each now recite "An isolated protein". Applicants request that the rejections be withdrawn and reconsidered.

IV. CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

A. Claims 6-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 recites "the protein, or fragment thereof", which is confusing. The term "the protein, or fragment thereof" lacks antecedent basis in claim 5 from which claim 6 depends. Claims 7-9 are also rejected as being dependent upon claim 6.

While not acquiescing to the Examiner's arguments, Applicants have removed the phrase "or fragment thereof" from claims 6-10, rendering the basis for the rejection moot. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

B. Claim 28 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "the protein, or fragment thereof" lacks antecedent basis in claim 26 from which claim 28 depends.

While not acquiescing to the Examiner's arguments, Applicants have removed the phrase "or fragment thereof" from claim 28, rendering the basis for the rejection moot. Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

C. Claims 13 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 13 and 22 recite "selected from the group comprising", which is indefinite as comprising allows group to have additional undefined members.

Applicants have amended claims 13 and 22 to replace the phrase "selected from the group comprising" to "selected from the group consisting of". Applicants believe they have obviated the Examiner's rejection and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

D. Claims 13 and 22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 13 and 22 are allegedly indefinite and vague in the recitation of "vegetative homolog of a grass pollen allergen" as it is unclear what characteristics must a "homolog" have?

Applicants disagree. Applicants respectfully remind the Examiner that Applicants are permitted to be their own lexicographer and can define their invention using any phrases that define the patentable subject matter with a reasonable degree of particularity and distinctness. MPEP §§ 2173.01-2173.02. MPEP § 2173.02 further provides that "Some latitude in the manner of expression and the aptness of terms should be permitted even though the claim language is not as precise as the examiner might desire." Therefore the phrase "vegetative homolog of a grass pollen allergen" is not indefinite.

Furthermore, claims are not analyzed in a vacuum, rather they are analyzed in light of the disclosure, the teachings of the prior art, and the claim interpretation that would be given by one possessing the ordinary level of skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made. MPEP § 2173.02. The Examiner is also referred to the specification, at page 2, lines 19-21 which provides, "For purposes of the present invention, a 'vegetative homolog' is defined as a β -expansin which is originally found in any plant part but pollen." The specification also states at page 20, lines 18-20, that "As they [rice cDNAs having long stretches with 60% identity and 80% similarity at the amino acid level between Lol pI and the rice EST homologs] are all expressed in young seedlings without flowers, these cDNAs cannot be from pollen, and so they are referred to as vegetative homologs of the group I allergens." Therefore the phrase "vegetative homolog of a grass pollen allergen" is not indefinite.

Applicants respectfully submit that the phrase "homolog" is definite. The term "homolog" as recited in claims 13 and 22 is, as of Applicants' priority date, a recognized term of art. Indeed, the term "homolog" when used as a search term using PubMed's Medline bibliographic database at www.pubmed.gov which gives about 4500 articles that include this term. A print out of some of the citations published at or before Applicant's filing date of May 2, 1997 generated by the search term "homolog" is submitted for the Examiner's consideration. Additionally, the journal articles Shcherban et al. and Cosgrove et al. cited by the Examiner under the section 102 rejections use this term throughout the article. See Shcherban et al. (PNAS 1995), for example, at page 9247, and Cosgrove et al (PNAS 1997), for example, at pages 6559, 6562, and 6563. Thus, one skilled in the art reviewing the Specification would understand the meaning of this term as used in the claims. Accordingly, persons of skill in the art would understand the homologs encompassed by claims 13 and 22.

In this context, Applicants' have used this term in a manner consistent with its generally accepted meaning. Therefore, the rejection should be withdrawn. In the event that the Examiner maintains the rejection, Applicants would welcome discussions with the Examiner regarding alternative acceptable terms that are synonymous with this term that is equally defined by the disclosure.

E. Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-4 are indefinite and vague in the recitation of "inducing expansion or stress relation on grass cell walls more effectively on monocot cell walls than on dicotyledons cell walls" which is unclear as to what activities are being recited. Is this reciting assays, wherein the effects on grass cell walls? Or assays, which compare effects on monocot and dicot cell walls?

While not acquiescing to the Examiner's amendment, claims 1-4 have been amended to recite "inducing the expansion or stress relaxation of monocot cell walls more effectively than dicotyledons cell walls as determined using cell wall extension and/or stress relaxation assays". Support for this amendment may be found throughout the specification, for example, at page 5, lines 24-26; at page 6, lines 1-2; and original claim 1. Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

F. Claims 13, 22 and 28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 13, 22 and 28 are indefinite and vague in the recitation of "said beta expansin protein is selected from the group comprising: -----

grass pollen allergen or an isolated polynucleotide encoding said beta-expansin". A protein cannot be a polynucleotide.

Accordingly, claims 13 and 22 have been amended to recite "said beta expansin protein is selected from the group consisting of: a vegetative homolog of a group I grass pollen allergen and a group I grass pollen allergen". Claim 28 has been amended to recite "wherein said beta-expansin is a vegetative homolog of a group I grass pollen allergen or a group I grass pollen allergen encoded by an isolated polynucleotide". Support for this amendment may be found throughout the specification, for example, at page 2, lines 22-24; at page 5, lines 18-21.

G. Claims 10 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that claims 10 and 19 are indefinite and vague in the recitation of "The protein ----- SEQ ID NO:10". SEQ ID NO:10 is not a grass pollen allergen at all as required by claims 5 and 15. SEQ ID NO:10 is from rice. Was claim 5 and 15 intended to recite "a vegetative homolog of a grass pollen allergen"?

Applicants thank the Examiner for pointing out this inadvertent error and have amended independent claims 5 and 15 to recite "a beta expansin protein structurally and functionally related to an alpha expansin". Support for this amendment may be found throughout the application, for example, at Figures 4-6; at page 19, lines 6-13. Claim 10 has been amended to correct antecedent basis and dependency so that claim 10 now recites, "The protein of claim 13, wherein said vegetative homolog of a group I grass pollen allergen comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 10." Claim 19 has been amended to correct antecedent basis and dependency so that claim 19 now recites, "The protein of claim 22, wherein said vegetative

homolog of a group I grass pollen allergen comprises the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 10." Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

H. Claims 10 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that claims 10 and 19 are indefinite with the recitation "further comprising" as the use of the term 'further' implies that SEQ ID NO:10 is in addition to the features already recited not that they are comprised within SEQ ID NO:10. It is suggested to delete "further".

Applicants thank the Examiner for the suggestion and have removed the phrase "further" from claims 10 and 19. Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

I. Claims 14 and 23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being allegedly indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that claim 14 is indefinite and vague in the recitation of "Isolated and purified from a ---- dicotyledonous species", which is inconsistent with claims 5 and 15, which recites that the protein is a grass (monocot) pollen allergen.

Accordingly, Applicants have amended independent claims 5 and 15 to recite a beta-expansin protein from which claims 14 and 23 respectfully depend so that there is consistency between the claims. Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

J. Claims 5 and 15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that claims 5 and 15 are indefinite and vague in the recitation of "25% or less sequence similarity with an alpha-expansin", as it is unclear about the specificity of alpha-expansin.

Applicants have amended claims 5 and 15 so that they now require "25% or less sequence similarity by BLAST or FASTA algorithms to alpha-expansin sequences as shown in Figure 6". The brief description of Figures 5 and 6 in the specification at pages 4-5 have been substituted for one another so that the figures and the description are brought into agreement. Support for this amendment may be found throughout the specification, for example, in claim 39 and at pages 4-5. Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

K. Claims 24, 25 and 39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that regarding claims 24, 25 and 39, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, Applicants have amended claims 24, 25 and 39 to replace "such as" with "comprising". Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

L. Claims 26 and 28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite and vague for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The Examiner states that claim 26 is indefinite in the recitation of "present in grass pollen". The Examiner states that claim 28 (dependent on claim 26) recites "vegetative homolog of a group I grass pollen allergen", which is impossible.

Applicants have amended claim 26 to be in independent form so that it now recites "A purified and isolated beta-expansin protein, said beta-expansin protein comprises a His Phe Asp Leu Ser Gly motif based on the conserved amino acid sequences of the seven beta-expansin proteins shown in Figure 5 and wherein said protein having a property of inducing extension or stress relaxation of a plant cell wall material." Support for this amendment may be found throughout the specification, for example, at page 6, lines 21; at page 9, lines 4-5; and original claim 24. Applicants believe that the claims are definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

M. Claim 37 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 36 is not further limiting of claim 35.

While not acquiescing to the Examiner's arguments, Applicants have canceled claim 37. Applicants have amended claim 36 so that it now recites "further characterizing said beta-expansin by determining the loosening or expanding of plant cell walls, altering plant cell wall mechanical strength, altering the bonding relationship between the components of the plant cell wall, and altering the growth of the plant cell wall." Applicants believe that claim 36 is definite and respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

V. 35 U.S.C. § 112 REJECTIONS

A. Claims 1-9, 11-18, 20-22, 24-28 and 35-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Examiner writes that given a lack of description of representative species encompassed by the genus of proteins used in the claim, the specification fails to sufficiently describe the claimed invention in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize that applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants disagree with the Examiner's analysis and conclusion. To satisfy the written description requirement, the disclosure must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that the inventor was in possession of the claimed invention. *Purdue Pharma L.P. v. Faulding, Inc.*, 230 F.3d 1320, 1323, 56 USPQ2d 1481, 1483 (Fed. Cir. 2000). "Put another way, one skilled in the art, reading the original disclosure, must immediately discern the limitation at issue in the claims." *Id.* Applicants have satisfied this requirement for the genus of beta expansins.

According to the Examiner, the specification teaches the structure of only a few representative species of such proteins and that while claims 1-5 recite some structural characteristics i.e. amino acid motifs or 25% or less sequence similarity with alpha-expansin, none of these characteristics alone is sufficient to change the fact that the claims include proteins which are highly variable in structure and neither genus recites enough characteristics that a skilled artisan would reasonably expect that any protein having these characteristics would necessarily be highly structurally similar to the disclosed species." Applicants also disagree with the Examiner's characterization that "the specification fails to describe any other representative

species by additional identifying characteristics or properties other than the functionality of encoding a beta-expansin protein."

Applicants traverse this rejection for the following reasons. Applicants "are not required to disclose every species encompassed by their claims even in an unpredictable art". *Regents of University of California v. Eli Lilly*, 119 F.3d 1559, 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1997). This issue is further addressed in the USPTO's Written Description Guidelines:

A representative number of species means that the species which are adequately described are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus. On the other hand, there may be situations where one species adequately supports a genus. What constitutes a "representative number" is an inverse function of the skill and knowledge in the art. Satisfactory disclosure of a "representative number" depends on whether one of skill in the art would recognize that the applicant was in possession of the necessary common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the member of the genus in view of the species disclosed. For inventions in an unpredictable art, adequate written description of a genus which embraces widely variant species cannot be achieved by disclosing only one species within the genus. *Description of a representative number of species does not require the description to be of such specificity that it would provide individual support for each species that the genus embraces.* (emphasis added)

It is thus not necessary that the specification describe each individual species embraced by a genus claim. Instead, whether an adequate description has been made of a genus claim is determined by whether or not a sufficient variety of species has been described to reflect variation within the genus and whether one skilled in the art would recognize that the Applicant was in possession of the "common attributes or features of the elements possessed by the member of the genus in view of the species disclosed." *See, e.g., Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co.*, 119 F.3d 1559, 1569 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

The written description requirement may be met by "show[ing] that an invention is complete by disclosure of sufficiently detailed, relevant identifying characteristics . . . i.e., complete or *partial structure, other physical and/or chemical properties*, functional characteristics when coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or *some combination* of such characteristics." *Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Gen-Probe Inc.*, 63 U.S.P.Q.2d 1609, 1613 (Fed. Cir. 2002). (emphasis added).

Applicants' disclosure meets this requirement. The specification describes beta expansins with specific chemical properties, "inducing expansion or stress relaxation of monocot cell walls more effectively than on dicotyledon cell walls as determined using cell wall extension and/or stress relaxation assays", and common attributes of a specific structural motif of either a HFDLSG, TWYG, GGACG, or HFD motif. Specification, for example, at page 7, lines 25-29, and at page 19, lines 10-19. The beta expansins contain two subgroups, those expressed in pollen and those expressed in vegetative tissue in plants. The Applicants have provided 4 representative species for grass pollen allergens and 3 representative species for the vegetative homologs of the grass pollen allergens all of which contain the HFDLSG, TWYG, GGACG, or HFD motifs. These representatives are shown in Figures 4-6 and their sequences aligned in a multiple sequence alignment herein submitted for the Examiner's consideration. The alignment shows conservation of the claimed motifs (highlighted in gray) as well as the beta-expansins amino acid diversity in other regions. The alignment was generated using the amino acid sequences provided in the specification and/or from their Genbank accession numbers in the specification. Thus, the genera is very well characterized.

While every protein containing one of the claimed motifs may not induce expansion or stress relaxation of monocot cell walls more effectively than on dicotyledon cell walls as

determined using cell wall extension and/or stress relaxation assays, this does not negate written description as those proteins fall outside of the claims. Applicants have provided teachings that guide one of ordinary skill in the art in making and assessing the chemical properties (enzymatic activities) of any additional beta expansin proteins or polypeptides. Therefore, one skilled in the art would be able to easily identify and test species belonging to the beta expansin genus for these properties.

For the above-stated reasons, the Applicants have demonstrated that the invention was described such that a person skilled in the art would recognize that the Applicants were in possession of the invention at the time the Application was filed. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph be withdrawn and reconsidered.

VI. 35 U.S.C. § 112 - ENABLEMENT

Claims 1-9, 11-18, 20-22, 24-25, 26-28 and 35-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, because the specification allegedly does not reasonably provide enablement for any beta-expansin or any fragment thereof. The Examiner writes that the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The Examiner writes that claims 1-5, 15, 24, 26, 35 and 39 are so broad as to encompass any beta-expansin or any fragment thereof of SEQ ID NO:10 comprising some specific motifs, 25% or less sequence similarity with alpha-expansin, a conserved motif of His-Phe-Asp-Leu-Ser-Gly or Gly Gly Ala Cys Gly in amino acid sequence of seven beta-expansin proteins, which is present in plant cell walls having function of expansion or stress relation on grass cell walls as

determined by cell wall extension and/or stress relation assays. The Examiner writes in this case the disclosure is limited to the nucleotide and encoded amino acid sequence of only few beta-expansin protein.

The Examiner writes that the specification does not support the broad scope of the claims which encompass any beta-expansin or any fragment thereof because the specification does not establish: (A) regions of the protein structure which may be modified without effecting beta-expansin activity; (B) the general tolerance of beta-expansin to modification and extent of such tolerance; (C) a rational and predictable scheme for modifying any beta-expansin residues with an expectation of obtaining the desired biological function; and (D) the specification provides insufficient guidance as to which of the essentially infinite possible choices is likely to be successful.

Applicants disagree. One skilled in the art would be able to make and use the present invention. Furthermore, the scope of the claims are commensurate in scope with the instant specification. The Examiner seems to suggest that the present invention requires undue experimentation to practice the claimed invention. The Examiner cannot possibly be suggesting that the claims are enabled only if no experimentation is required to make and use the invention. This is not the law. A considerable amount of experimentation is permissible if it is merely routine. *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404. Further, the courts have held that a considerable amount of time and expense are also permissible. *United States v Telectronics Inc.*, 857 F.2d 778, 8 USPQ2d 1217 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

The knowledge for identifying and isolating other beta expansins form libraries or databases is routine as is the recombinant molecular technology for making mutations, insertions or deletions of amino acids of beta expansin sequences. Variants can be tested using the cell wall

expansion or cell relaxation assays described in the specification or other assays known in the art to determine the ability of the beta expansin variants to loosen or expand cell walls, in particular their activity on loosening or expanding monocot or dicot cell walls.

While it is true that changing specific amino acids at enzymatic sites may affect protein function, it is common practice in the art to modify proteins in ways that have no effect on protein function, for example, targeting non conserved regions of the protein or making conserved amino acid substitutions. One skilled in the art can test this hypothesis using natural variants or site-directed mutagenesis. As indicated in the specification, beta expansins may often have large homology with respect to one another, for example, ranging from about 28% to about 60% but as discovered by the Applicants still conserve these motifs and the ability to induce expansion or stress relaxation of monocot cell walls more effectively than dicotyledon cell walls as determined using cell wall extension and/or stress relaxation assays. Specification, at pages 6 and 19, lines 10-19, and Figure 2.

Accordingly the claimed invention is drawn to isolated proteins that are defined based on structural and chemical properties that are common to members of the claimed beta expansin genus and which features are fully described in the present specification.

Thus, the proteins are limited by their structure and chemical properties as indicated in the claims. Structurally, the claims recite a beta expansin protein having 25% or less sequence similarity with an alpha-expansin of Figure 5 or a conserved motif. Chemically, the instant claims are enabled because expansins or other proteins that do not induce expansion or stress relaxation of monocot cell walls more effectively than on dicotyledon cell walls as determined using cell wall extension and/or stress relaxation assays would not fall into the scope of the claim.

In support, Applicants have submitted herewith a journal article "Purification and Characterization of Four β -Expansins (Zea m 1 Isoforms) from Maize Pollen" authored by Cosgrove et al. that demonstrates that one can follow the teachings in the specification and obtain beta expansins proteins having at least one of the claimed motifs and induce expansion or stress relaxation of monocot cell walls more effectively than on dicotyledon cell walls as determined using cell wall extension and/or stress relaxation assays. Cosgrove et al. *Plant Physiology* 132:2073-2085 (2003). Specifically, Cosgrove et al. identified four additional beta expansins having at least one or all of the claimed motifs by screening a cDNA library and by BLAST analysis and assayed for their respective wall extension activities on monocot and dicot cell walls. Cosgrove et al., at pages 2074-75 and 2080, and Figures 1-8. All four newly identified beta expansins induced extension of grass cell walls but not dicot walls. Cosgrove et al., at page 2080.

Accordingly, the published journal article demonstrate that one of skill in the art, relying on the present disclosure, and on knowledge in the art at the time the present application was filed, would be able to practice the invention. For at least the reasons above, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are enabled and commensurate in scope with the specification. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection be withdrawn and reconsidered.

VII. CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 102

A. Claims 1-28 and 35-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa, created 1/1/1998). UniProt teach a sequence of a beta-expansin protein isolated from rice, which is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:10 of the instant application.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner's rejection is erroneous. In the first instance, Applicants respectfully submit that UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa does not qualify as prior art since section 102(b) requires that “the invention was patented or described in a printed publication ... more than *one year prior to the date* of application for patent in the United States.” (emphasis added.) Applicant’s instant application claims priority to and incorporates by reference provisional application serial no. 60/045,445 filed on May 2, 1997. The provisional application, at page 1, discloses the GenBank Accession Nos for the beta-expansins of the claimed invention. Thus, Applicants are entitled to the priority date of the provisional application of May 2, 1997. The Examiner states that UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa was created January 1, 1998 which is after Applicants' filing date. Thus, UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa does not qualify as prior art.

Even assuming, *arguendo*, that Applicants are not entitled to the priority date of the provisional application 60/045,445, the present claims are entitled to the May 5, 1998 filing date. Thus, Accession No. 024230_Orysa does not qualify as prior art under §102(b).

Applicants respectfully submit that the use of UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa is not proper as Cosgrove et al. is the Applicants' own work. UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa has a submission date of January 1, 1998 which is less than one year before May, 1998, the filing date of the parent application of the instant application.

Thus, UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa does not qualify as prior art because UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa is Applicant's own disclosure of his own work. Although UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa list contributors in addition to Applicants, a rejection under § 102(a) may still be overcome by establishing the portions of the reference pertinent to the claimed invention describe Applicants' own work. In re Katz, 215 U.S.P.Q. 14 (C.C.P.A. 1982).

In the declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132 (attached as exhibit A), Applicant Daniel J. Cosgrove states that co-submitters Patricia Bedinger, Mark J. Guiltinan, Daniel M. Durachko, Tatyana Shcherban, Jun Shi, Simon J. McQueen-Mason and Mark Shieh made no inventive contribution to the claimed invention. Thus, in light of the 1.132 Declaration, UniProt Accession No. 024230_Orysa cannot serve as prior art reference in a rejection of the pending claims under § 102(a). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and reconsidered.

B. Claims 1-28 and 35-40 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Cosgrove et al. (Group I allergens of grass pollen as cell wall-loosening agents, Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. 1997 June 10; 94(12): 6559-64, see IDS). Cosgrove et al. teach a sequence of a beta-expansin protein isolated from rice, which is 100% identical to SEQ ID NO:10 of the instant application.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants respectfully submit that the journal article by Cosgrove et al. (Group I allergens of grass pollen as cell wall-loosening agents, Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. 1997 June 10; 94(12): 6559-64) hereinafter Cosgrove et al. (1997) does not qualify as prior art since section 102(b) requires that “the invention was patented or described in a printed publication ... more than *one year prior to the date* of application for patent in the United States.” (emphasis added.)

Applicant’s instant application claims priority to and incorporates by reference provisional application serial no. 60/045,445 filed on May 2, 1997. The provisional application, at page 1, discloses the GenBank Accession Nos for the beta-expansins of the claimed invention. Thus, Applicants are entitled to the priority date of the provisional application of May 2, 1997.

Applicants respectfully submit that the use of the journal article by Cosgrove et al. (1997) was published June 10, 1997 which is after Applicants' filing date.

Applicants respectfully submit that the use of the journal article by Cosgrove et al. is not proper as Cosgrove et al. (1997) is the Applicants' own work. Cosgrove et al. (1997) was published June 10, 1997 in Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. less than one year before May, 1998, the filing date of the parent application of the instant application. As pointed out above, Cosgrove et al. (1997) is less than one year before the filing date of the priority application 60/045,445 filed May 5, 1997.

Thus, the Cosgrove et al. (1997) reference does not qualify as prior art because Cosgrove et al. (1997) is Applicant's own disclosure of his own work. Although Cosgrove et al. (1997) list co-authors in addition to Applicants, a rejection under § 102(a) may still be overcome by establishing the portions of the reference pertinent to the claimed invention describe Applicants' own work. In re Katz, 215 U.S.P.Q. 14 (C.C.P.A. 1982). In the declaration under 37 CFR § 1.132 (attached as exhibit A), Applicant Daniel J. Cosgrove states that co-authors Patricia Bedinger and Daniel M. Durachko made no inventive contribution to the claimed invention. Daniel M. Durachko performed experiments disclosed in Cosgrove et al. (1997) under the guidance of Daniel J. Cosgrove. Patricia Bedinger was a collaborator that merely provided a protein sample at Dr. Cosgrove's request. Thus, in light of the 1.132 Declaration, Cosgrove et al. (1997) cannot serve as prior art reference in a rejection of the pending claims under § 102(a). Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and reconsidered.

C. Claims 3-4, 15-16, 18, 20-22, 25 and 35-39 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shcherban et al. (PNAS 1995 Sep 26; 92(20): 9245-9, see IDS). The Examiner states that Shcherban et al. also teach expansins, which are highly conserved in size and sequence (60-87% amino acid sequence identity and 75-95% similarity between any pairwise comparison with other beta-expansin family proteins), and teach that phylogenetic trees indicate the multigene family formed before the evolutionary divergence of monocotyledons and dicotyledons and a series of highly conserved tryptophans may function in expansin binding to cellulose or other glycans.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action did not make out a *prima facie* case of anticipation because the reference does not teach each and every claim element. Anticipation requires the disclosure in a single prior art reference of each element of the claim under consideration. *In re Dillon* 919 F.2d 688, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1908 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (en banc), cert. denied, 500 U.S. 904 (1991).

Claims 3 and 4 recite beta expansin proteins inducing expansion or stress relation on grass cell walls more effectively on monocot cell walls than on dicotyledon cell walls as determined by cell wall extension and/or stress relation assays. In contrast, Shcherban et al. do not teach each and every element of the claims. Rather, they merely state that they have identified "a class of wall proteins, called expansins, which have the remarkable ability to promote the extension of isolated plant cell walls." (Shcherban et al., at page 9245, left column, 2nd paragraph). Nowhere in this reference do they disclose what group of expansins expand cell walls, for example, alpha or beta expansins, or what kinds of plant cell walls they expand, for example, monocots or dicots, much less that Beta expansins are more efficient in expanding plant cell walls from monocots than dicots. Nowhere do Shcherban et al. teach that some

expansins, in particular β -expansins, induce expansion of monocot cell walls more effectively than dicot cell walls. Thus, Shcherban et al. do not teach each element of the claims and therefore do not anticipate the claims.

Furthermore, Shcherban et al. do not teach that proteins having the amino acid motifs of GGACCG and HFD induce expansion more effectively on monocot cell walls than on dicot cell walls. Furthermore, it is submitted that the even though alpha and beta expansions have great structural similarity sharing many conserved amino acid residues, for example, GGACCG and HFD as shown in Figures 5 and 6, the alpha and beta expansins have differing functional properties, for example, inducing expansion or stress relation on grass cell walls more effectively on monocot cell walls than on dicotyledon cell walls as determined by cell wall extension and/or stress relation assays, as noted by Applicants, for example, at page 6, lines 1-2, and in Example 2, at page 19, lines 10-19. Thus, these properties are not inherent in the sequences themselves. Therefore, Shcherban et al. cannot inherently anticipate the instant claims because the reference does not place the present invention in possession of the public. Applicants respectfully submit that Shcherban et al. do not teach or suggest a protein having a motif of GGACCG and HFD that functions as presently recited in the claims. As such, it is respectfully submitted that Shcherban et al. do not anticipate the claimed proteins for at least these reasons. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and reconsidered.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In the event that there are any questions relating to this amendment, Applicants invite the Examiner to call the undersigned attorney so that prosecution can be expedited.

Please consider this a two-month extension of time from November 1, 2006 to January 1, 2007 and charge Deposit Account No. 26-0084 the amount of \$225.00 for this extension. No other fees or extensions of time are believed to be due in connection with this amendment; however, consider this a request for any extension inadvertently omitted, and charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 26-0084.

Reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Janae E. Lehman Bell". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Janae" being the most prominent.

JANAÉ E. LEHMAN BELL, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 55,370

McKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C.

801 Grand Avenue, Suite 3200

Des Moines, Iowa 50309-2721

Phone No: (515) 288-3667

Fax No: (515) 288-1338

CUSTOMER NO: 27407

- pw/bjh -

Attorneys of Record

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT : **COSGROVE**, Daniel J.
SERIAL NO : 10/730,866
FILED : December 9, 2003
TITLE : BETA-EXPANSINS AS CELL WALL LOOSENING AGENTS,
COMPOSITIONS THEREOF AND METHODS OF USE

Grp./A.U. : 1652
Examiner : CHOWDHURY, Iqbal Hossain
Conf. No. : 3290
Docket No. : P04665US02

**DECLARATION TO DISQUALIFY APPLICANTS' OWN PUBLICATIONS
AS PRIOR ART (37 C.F.R. § 1.132)**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Dear Sir:

I, Daniel J. Cosgrove, do hereby declare as follows:

1. That my address is:

102 Sellers Lane
Port Matilda, PA 16870
2. That I am the inventor of the present application.
3. That I am a co-submitter of the sequence in UniProt, UniProt Accession No.

024230_Orysa created 1/1/1998.




4. That co-submitters Tatyana Shcherban, Jun Shi, Mark J. Guiltinan, Simon J. McQueen-Mason, Mark Shieh, Patricia Bedinger and Daniel M. Durachko made no inventive contribution to the invention in the above-identified application.

5. That I am a co-author of the article "Group I allergens of grass pollen as cell wall-loosening agents" Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, Vol. 94, pp. 6559-6564, June 1997.

6. That co-authors Patricia Bedinger and Daniel M. Durachko made no inventive contribution to the invention in the above-identified application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Signature 
Daniel J. Cosgrove

Dated: 2 January 2007

Purification and Characterization of Four β -Expansins (Zea m 1 Isoforms) from Maize Pollen^{1[w]}

Lian-Chao Li, Patricia A. Bedinger, Carol Volk, A. Daniel Jones, and Daniel J. Cosgrove*

Department of Biology, 208 Mueller Laboratory (L.-C.L., D.J.C.) and Department of Chemistry, 152 Davey Laboratory (A.D.J.), The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802; and Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 (P.A.B., C.V.).

Four proteins with wall extension activity on grass cell walls were purified from maize (*Zea mays*) pollen by conventional column chromatography and high-performance liquid chromatography. Each is a basic glycoprotein (isoelectric point = 9.1–9.5) of approximately 28 kD and was identified by immunoblot analysis as an isoform of Zea m 1, the major group 1 allergen of maize pollen and member of the β -expansin family. Four distinctive cDNAs for Zea m 1 were identified by cDNA library screening and by GenBank analysis. One pair (GenBank accession nos. AY104999 and AY104125) was much closer in sequence to well-characterized allergens such as Lol p 1 and Phl p 1 from ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) and *Phleum pratense*, whereas a second pair was much more divergent. The N-terminal sequence and mass spectrometry fingerprint of the most abundant isoform (Zea m 1d) matched that predicted for AY197353, whereas N-terminal sequences of the other isoforms matched or nearly matched AY104999 and AY104125. Highly purified Zea m 1d induced extension of a variety of grass walls but not dicot walls. Wall extension activity of Zea m 1d was biphasic with respect to protein concentration, had a broad pH optimum between 5 and 6, required more than 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ for high activity, and led to cell wall breakage after only approximately 10% extension. These characteristics differ from those of α -expansins. Some of the distinctive properties of Zea m 1 may not be typical of β -expansins as a class but may relate to the specialized function of this β -expansin in pollen function.

Expansins were first identified a decade ago as mediators of acid-induced plant cell wall extension in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) hypocotyls and oat (*Avena sativa*) coleoptiles (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Li et al., 1993) and since then have been implicated in many aspects of plant growth and development, including cell expansion, leaf organogenesis and phyllotaxy, fruit ripening, root growth, particularly during drought, cell wall disassembly, and penetration of pollen tubes in grass species (for review, see Cosgrove, 2000; Lee et al., 2001; Cosgrove et al., 2002). Currently, two families of expansins, named *EXP* (α -expansins) and *EXPB* (β -expansins), have been identified based on protein activity and sequence analysis (Shcherban et al., 1995; Cosgrove et al., 2002; Li et al., 2002). The rice (*Oryza sativa*) and Arabidopsis genomes also contain a small family of related genes (see <http://www.bio.psu.edu/expansins/>) that we have named *EXPL* (expansin-like) and a more distant gene named *EXPR* (expansin-related). It is unknown whether the proteins encoded by these distantly related genes have expansin's characteristic

activity, namely a rapid induction of cell wall extension and an increase in wall stress relaxation.

Although there are now many reports characterizing expansin gene expression, relatively few studies have examined the activity of expansin proteins. It seems that α -expansins are ubiquitous to land plants and loosen cell walls without breakdown of the major wall polysaccharides (for review, see Cosgrove et al., 2002; Li et al., 2002). Similarly, β -expansins are thought to be ubiquitous in land plants but less is known of their mechanism of wall loosening.

The first hint about β -expansin function came when BLAST searches, using α -expansin as query, found approximately 20% identity to a group of proteins known previously as grass group 1 pollen allergens (Shcherban et al., 1995). These allergens were originally identified by immunologists 40 years ago as the main causative agents of hay fever and seasonal asthma induced by grass pollen (Malley et al., 1962; Johnson and Marsh, 1965a, 1965b) and have since been studied mostly by immunologists to define their antigenic epitopes and to understand how they cause human allergy responses. Subsequently, Cosgrove et al. (1997) reported that the group 1 allergen from maize (*Zea mays*) pollen, called Zea m 1, has wall-loosening activity characteristic of expansins and proposed that these proteins loosen the cell walls of the stigma and style to aid pollen tube penetration. Grass group 1 pollen allergens are now recognized as a subgroup of β -expansins. Although group 1 allergens are expressed specifically in pollen and probably have a unique wall-loosening role in support of pollen function, other β -expansin genes

¹ This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (grant no. DE-FG02-84ER13179) and by the National Institutes of Health (grant no. GM60397).

[w] The online version of this article contains Web-only data. The supplemental material is available at <http://www.plantphysiol.org>.

* Corresponding author; e-mail dcosgrove@psu.edu; fax 814-865-9131.

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.103.020024.

are expressed more widely during the growth and development of vegetative tissues (Lee and Kende, 2001; Schipper et al., 2002; Wu et al., 2001). We sometimes refer to these other β -expansins as the vegetative homologs of group 1 pollen allergens.

The group 1 allergen Zea m 1 was reported to be most effective on grass cell walls (so-called Type II walls), which are distinctive in composition from Type I walls. Type I walls include the cell walls of all dicots and of the non-commelinoid monocot species (Carpita and Gibeaut, 1993; Carpita, 1996). In contrast to Zea m 1 activity, α -expansins from both dicots and grasses are more effective in inducing extension in vitro with dicot (Type I) walls than with grass (Type II) walls (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Li et al., 1993; Cho and Kende, 1997). Our tentative interpretation is that α - and β -expansins act on different matrix polysaccharides in the cell wall and that in grasses, the targets of β -expansin action (possibly arabinoxylans) have a more dominant role in wall structure and wall mechanics than they do in dicots and other species with Type I walls. This explanation would account for the selectivity of Zea m 1 toward grass walls and for the presence of β -expansins in dicots. However, this explanation must be considered tentative until more is known about β -expansin action.

Although expansins were originally discovered a decade ago and are now believed to play important roles in regulating plant cell wall extensibility, the exact biochemical mechanism by which these proteins cause plant cell walls to extend is still unclear. Nearly all the details about the biochemical properties and actions of expansin proteins are derived from studies with α -expansins and very little with β -expansins. Recently, Grobe et al. (1999, 2002) proposed that grass pollen group 1 allergens loosen walls via proteolytic action, but this has been refuted by Li and Cosgrove (2001).

To clarify the mechanism of action of β -expansin action, we have developed an efficient purification procedure for Zea m 1, the β -expansin from maize pollen, and we provide a detailed characterization of the biochemical properties and expansin activity of the multiple Zea m 1 isoforms. Although the expansin activity of Zea m 1 was identified previously, neither the properties of this protein nor its activity were characterized in detail, and in some cases, crude pollen extracts, rather than purified proteins, were used for activity analyses (Cosgrove et al., 1997). In this study, we also identify four complete cDNAs for Zea m 1, which previously was known from a partial cDNA (Broadwater et al., 1993), and we report a surprising sequence divergence in Zea m 1 proteins.

RESULTS

Identification of Zea m 1 cDNA Clones

By screening a pollen cDNA library with the partial clone for Zea m 1 (GenBank Accession no. L14271),

we identified multiple clones for two distinct Zea m 1 cDNAs, the longest of which were fully sequenced and deposited in GenBank as AY197352 and AY197353. The later clone is a longer version of L14271 and is essentially identical to *EXPB1* (Wu et al., 2001), whereas the former clone is a very similar, but distinct, cDNA (see <http://www.bio.psu.edu/expansins/> for naming conventions and gene lists). The predicted proteins are made up of 269 and 270 amino acids, have a signal peptide at the amino terminus, and possess the characteristic motifs found for expansins (Cosgrove et al., 2002). They have only approximately 58% protein sequence identity to Lol p 1, the archetype group 1 pollen allergen from ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*). Although the proteins encoded by the two cDNAs are 98% identical to each other, nucleotide sequence differences in the 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions and in the coding region indicate that these cDNAs represent two distinct genes in the inbred line from which the cDNA library was made. We have designated the gene corresponding to AY197352 as *EXPB9* because it is a distinct gene from *EXPB1*. BLAST analysis of the maize expressed sequence tag (EST) database confirms that *EXPB1* and *EXPB9* are well represented in pollen and anther cDNA libraries and are not found in cDNA libraries made from tissues that lack pollen. That is, they appear to be pollen specific, as expected for a group 1 allergen.

By BLAST analysis of GenBank, including the maize EST database, we identified two additional maize cDNAs for a second class of Zea m 1 sequences, represented by GenBank AY104125 and AY104999. We have designated the corresponding genes as *EXPB10* and *EXPB11*, respectively. The proteins encoded by these cDNAs are approximately 94% identical to each other and have even greater sequence identity to Lol p 1 (approximately 70%) than do *EXPB1* and *EXPB9* (see the alignment in Fig. 1). They have approximately 62% sequence identity with *EXPB1* and *EXPB9*. Analysis of the maize EST database indicates that they too are well represented in pollen and anther-specific libraries and are not found in libraries made from other tissues. Moreover, differential screening of our pollen cDNA library also identified 11 clones corresponding to this second class of Zea m 1 (data not shown). Thus, expression all four genes also appears to be pollen specific.

We conclude from these results that the maize genome contains at least four pollen-expressed β -expansin genes that fall within the subgroup known as grass group 1 pollen allergens. This is consistent with Southern-blot analysis (Broadwater et al., 1993), which suggested that maize contains two to four genes for Zea m 1. This conclusion is confirmed by phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2), which shows that these four sequences fall within the pollen allergen clade of β -expansins. We have divided the group 1 allergens into two classes (A and B), each




Figure 1. Protein sequence alignment of Zea m 1 based on four distinctive cDNAs and comparison with Lol p 1 (GenBank accession no. X57678). Predicted proteins are identified by GenBank accession numbers. Dots represent residues that are identical to that of AY197353. Hyphens show gaps to maximize alignment. Boxed annotations include the signal peptide at the N terminus, the conserved glycosylation site near the N terminus of the mature protein, and the recognition sites for monoclonal antibodies for sites A and D. Underlined or above-lined regions indicate the tryptic peptides identified by electrospray ionization (ESI)-mass spectrometry (MS; Supplemental Table S1, <http://www.plantphysiol.org>).

containing with two maize genes. The maize EST database contains many entries corresponding to these four genes, some with slight sequence variations. Whether these sequence variations represent allelic variations (the libraries were made from different maize lines), sequencing errors, or possibly

additional genes for Zea m 1 is not certain at this time.

Fractionation of Maize Pollen Protein Yields Four Zea m 1 Isoforms

Maize pollen was extracted in acetate buffer, and the extracted proteins were fractionated by chromatography on carboxymethyl (CM)-Sephacrose followed by chromatography on a CM-silica-based HPLC column (Fig. 3; Table I). Following the protocol of Cosgrove et al. (1997), we used heat-inactivated grass coleoptile walls to assay the fractions for rapid induction of wall extension, i.e. detectable within 5 min. About 7% of the total protein and all of the detectable activity were retained on CM-Sephacrose. Upon elution with a linear salt gradient, about two-thirds of the eluted proteins had wall extension activity, resulting in approximately 19-fold purification (Table I).

For further purification, fractions including peak I/II and peak III were separately loaded onto a CM-silica-based HPLC column (Fig. 3, B and C). Four proteins with wall extension activity were purified to homogeneity as determined by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4A) and native PAGE (Fig. 4B). As described below, these proteins were identified as isoforms of Zea m 1 and are designated Zea m 1a, Zea m 1b, Zea m 1c, and Zea m 1d, in the order of their elution from the CM-HPLC column. Each consists of a single polypeptide (Fig. 4A) of nearly identical size (Zea m 1a, 28.5 kD; Zea m 1b, 28.4 kD; Zea m 1c, 28.7 kD; and Zea m 1d, 29.0 kD, as estimated by SDS-PAGE).

The data in Table I indicate that Zea m 1 proteins are abundant in maize pollen, comprising approximately 0.1% of the total pollen mass or approxi-




Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of protein sequences for Zea m 1 and other grass group 1 pollen allergens. The tree was constructed by neighbor joining and rooted using a maize vegetative β-expansin as the out group; bootstrap values are shown at each node, and GenBank Accession numbers are indicated after the genus name. For maize and rice, the expansin gene designations are also given, e.g. EXPB1. The sorghum sequence is from Knox and Suphioglu (1996b) and is not in GenBank. The *Triticum* and *Hordeum* sequences are based on EST assemblies (<http://www.plantgdb.org/cgi-bin/PlantGDBblast>), and the assembly number is given.




Figure 3. Purification of Zea m 1 isoforms from maize pollen. A, Fractionation of the maize pollen extract by CM-Sepharose cation exchange chromatography yielded three peaks of activity (I–III). B, Fractionation of peaks I and II from A on a silica-based CM-HPLC column yielded four peaks of activity, which were identified as four isoforms of Zea m 1 by immunoblot analysis. C, Fractionation of peak III from A yielded isoforms Zea m 1c and 1d. Open circles, Wall extension activity of the corresponding fraction.

mately 4% of the extracted protein. The relative proportions of Zea m 1a to d isoforms were 15%, 17%, 25%, and 43%, respectively. Because Zea m 1d was the most abundant isoform and was readily purified from maize pollen, we used it for most of the investigations in this paper. We also noted a small peak of activity in an HPLC fraction that lacked Zea m 1

isoforms (at 17–20 min in Fig. 3B); this fraction will be the subject of a future study.

Identification of Zea m 1 by Immunoblot and Amino Acid Sequence Analyses

The active proteins were identified as group 1 allergens by immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4, C–E) using monoclonal antibody (McAb) against Lol p 1 (antisite D, where the epitope is defined by the Lol p 1 peptide STWYGKPTGAGPK; Hiller et al., 1997; see also the annotation of the sequence alignment in Fig. 1). Lol p 1 is the group 1 allergen of perennial ryegrass pollen and has moderate sequence similarity to Zea m 1. Although the four Zea m 1 isoforms are very similar to each other in their biochemical properties, they differ somewhat in their antigenicity because two of the isoforms (Zea m 1a and 1d) were not recognized by a second McAb against Lol p 1 (antisite A, where the epitope is defined by the peptide YTTEGGTKSEVEDVIPEGWKADTSYSK; Esch and Klapper, 1989; see also Fig. 1). This antibody did recognize Zea m 1b and Zea m 1c (Fig. 4D). Similar immunoblot results were obtained after protein separation by native PAGE (Fig. 4E) and by IEF (data not shown). In addition, these Zea m 1 isoforms were not recognized by two polyclonal antibodies raised against cucumber α -expansins EXP1 or EXP2; this is consistent with previous observations with a mixture of all Zea m 1 isoforms (Cosgrove et al., 1997).

The N-terminal amino acid sequence for Zea m 1d was determined by automated Edman degradation to be GPPKVPPGO?ITNNGKWL, which corresponds to the predicted protein sequence of two of the cDNAs isolated above (GenBank accession nos. AY197352 and AY197353; see Fig. 1), after removal of the signal peptide. The residue at position 9 is Hyp and the residue at position 10 (?) could not be identified because its yield in the sequencing reaction was too low to be detected. However, it is probably a glycosylated Asn because this position conforms to the structural motif "NXT/S" (X represents any amino acid except P) for N-linked glycosylation. Glycosylation interferes with amino acid identification by Edman degradation. A glycosylated Asn in this position is a conserved feature of group 1 allergens (Knox and Suphioglu, 1996b). It is not, however, universally characteristic of β -expansins in general because other members of this family (i.e. the "vegetative homologs") often have glycosylation sites predicted at other sites (our own observation).

MS of the tryptic peptides of Zea m 1d indicates that it is encoded by AY197353 and not the other cDNAs in Figure 1. Supplemental Table S1 (see supplemental data at <http://www.plantphysiol.org>) shows that the masses of eight tryptic peptides perfectly matched with those calculated for the tryptic peptides predicted from AY197353. The positions of these peptides are indicated in Figure 1. Some of the

Table 1. Purification of Zea m 1 from maize pollen

Twenty grams of maize pollen was extracted, centrifuged, and filtered to give the crude extract. This extract was then subjected to conventional chromatography on CM-Sepharose followed by HPLC on CM-silica-based matrix. This purification was repeated two additional times with similar results.

Purification Step	Protein	Activity	Specific Activity	Purification	Protein Yield
	mg	% h ⁻¹	% h ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ protein	-fold	%
Crude extract	580.4	2,868	4.9	1	100
CM-Sepharose	35.1	3,212	91.5	19	6.0
CM-HPLC					
Zea m 1a	3.0	410	136.7	28	0.5
Zea m 1b	3.4	429	126.2	26	0.6
Zea m 1c	5.1	663	130.0	27	0.9
Zea m 1d	8.6	1205	140.1	29	1.5

peptides detected by ESI-MS remain unidentified (not shown), probably due to the instability of the expected products, posttranslational modifications, or perhaps allelic differences in sequence.

Therefore, we conclude that Zea m 1d corresponds to AY197353. Furthermore, the N terminus of Zea m 1c was found to be GPPKVPPGK?ITATYGKDWL. Assuming the uncertain residue at position 10 to be a glycosylated Asn (see above), this sequence is an identical match with that predicted for AY104125 and is distinct from the other three cDNAs identified in Figure 1. The N-terminal sequence for Zea m 1b was GPPKVPPGK?ITAKYGSDWL, which is an identical match for AY104999. The N-terminal sequence for Zea m 1a was GPPKVPPGK?ITANYGSDWL, which matches that predicted for AY104999, except at position 14, which is an N instead of the predicted K. Because the pollen was collected from a hybrid line different from the inbred source for AY104999, we suspect that Zea m 1a is allelic to AY104999; however, further work is necessary to resolve this point. We also make note that the N terminus in all four proteins matches that expected after removal of the predicted signal peptide (Fig. 1).

All Four Zea m 1 Isoforms Are Basic Glycoproteins

By IEF, we found that Zea m 1a, 1b, and 1c each consisted of a single band of pI 9.12, 9.30, and 9.32, respectively, whereas Zea m 1d split into two bands of pI 9.50 and 9.52 (Fig. 4F). The doublet for Zea m 1d may be due to a charge isoform, minor modification of Zea m 1d, or the presence of a closely related isoform, e.g. perhaps that encoded by AY197352. These results are comparable with the theoretical pI of 8.84 for AY197352/AY197353 and 8.23 for AY104125/AY104999.

All four Zea m 1 isoforms were demonstrated to be glycoproteins by use of the glycan detection kit (Boehringer Mannheim Co., Indianapolis; data not shown). This is consistent with the "NXT/S" glycosylation motif found near the N terminus of Zea m 1. To confirm the glycosylation, we determined the molecular mass of Zea m 1d by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF)

and ESI-MS. The values, 27,986 D by MALDI-TOF and 28,066 D by ESI-MS, are approximately 1,200 D higher than the predicted mass of 26,883 D for the mature Zea m 1d protein, encoded by AY197353. Thus, the carbohydrate content of Zea m 1d is estimated to be about 4% and corresponds to that expected for a typical glycan chain decorating a single amino acid residue.

Characteristics of Wall Extension Activity by Zea m 1

Wall extension assays showed that Zea m 1d rapidly induced extension of heat-inactivated cell walls from wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) coleoptiles (Fig. 5A). In addition, Zea m 1d enhanced the rate of stress relaxation of wheat coleoptile walls over a broad range of time (approximately 0.1–100 s; Fig. 5B). These properties are characteristic of expansins. Similar results were obtained with the other three Zea m 1 isoforms (data not shown).

In a buffer of 50 mM 3,3-dimethylglutaric acid (DMGA)-NaOH, Zea m 1d had a broad optimum pH between 5.0 and 6.0 (Fig. 6A). This pH dependence is significantly different from that of α-expansins (see "Discussion"). The buffer used in the assay is an important consideration because we found that wall extension activity of native coleoptile walls (i.e. not inactivated by heat treatment) was significantly affected by the buffering species. When compared with acetate buffer, buffers based on DMGA, citrate-phosphate, piperazine-N,N'-bis(4-butanedisulfonic acid), and piperazine-N,N'-bis(3-propanedisulfonic acid) inhibited the native wall extension by 15% to 44%, whereas MES enhanced wall extension by 100%. Although the extension activity using DMGA buffer was 50% to 60% that using acetate buffer, DMGA buffer has the important advantage of adequate buffering capacity over the whole pH range tested here (pH 3.0–7.5).

Wall extension activity increased with increasing concentrations of Zea m 1d in a biphasic manner (Fig. 6B). A steep increase in activity between 0 and 100 μg mL⁻¹ was followed by a more gradual increase to concentrations of at least 300 μg mL⁻¹. This concentration dependence is more complicated than that




Figure 4. Electrophoretic and immunoblot analysis of Zea m 1 from the different purification steps. A, Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE. Lane M, Protein markers; lane CE, crude extract (40 μ g); lane PPZ, partially purified Zea m 1 (20 μ g) pooled from peaks I to III of the CM-Sepharose column; lanes a-d, Zea m 1a to d (approximately 2 μ g lane⁻¹) purified from the CM-HPLC column. B, Coomassie-stained native PAGE. Lanes a to d were loaded with 2 μ g of purified Zea m 1a to d, respectively. Arrows indicate the position of Zea m 1 proteins. C, Immunoblot analysis of the Zea m 1a to d separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with McAb antisite D. D, Immunoblot analysis of Zea m 1a to d separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with McAb antisite A. E, Immunoblot analysis of Zea m 1a to d separated by native gel electrophoresis and probed with McAb antisite D. F, Isoelectric focusing (IEF) of Zea m 1a to d. Lane M, pI markers; lanes a to d, purified Zea m 1a to d (approximately 2 μ g/lane), respectively. An enlargement of the Zea m 1d band is shown at the right.

found for α -expansins (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Li et al., 1993), which show saturating activity at approximately 30 μ g mL⁻¹.

Zea m 1d appeared to bind tightly to the coleoptile wall because wall extension activity was not removed by exchanging the protein solution with fresh buffer lacking Zea m 1d (Fig. 7A). The persistence of wall extension activity also might be explained by an irreversible modification of the wall by Zea m 1d, such that the wall remained extensible even after

expansin removal. To evaluate this possibility, we first incubated coleoptile walls with Zea m 1d, then treated the walls with Pronase, a powerful wide-spectrum protease preparation. Protease treatment completely abolished Zea m 1d-induced wall extension activity, whereas the control walls were still extensible (Fig. 7B). Taken together, these results indicate that Zea m 1d is not readily washed off of coleoptile walls and that the bound Zea m 1d continues to catalyze wall extension even after buffer exchange to remove the unbound Zea m 1d.

Another point to be noted is that walls treated continuously with pure Zea m 1d did not maintain a stable creep rate indefinitely, but the rate began to increase after approximately 10% extension, and this increase soon led to breakage (e.g. starting at 100–120 min in the positive control of Fig. 7A). Wall breakage was delayed or did not occur in the walls where the free Zea m 1 was removed by buffer exchange; this result suggests that breakage was caused by additional loosening action of the Zea m 1d in solution. This behavior differs from that of α -expansins, which




Figure 5. Wall-loosening activities of purified Zea m 1d. A, Induction of wall extension of heat-inactivated wheat coleoptile by Zea m 1d (0.3 mg mL⁻¹). B, Stimulation of wall stress relaxation by 0.10 mg mL⁻¹ Zea m 1d (average of 10 measurements).




Figure 6. Dependence of wall extension activity of Zea m 1d on pH, concentration, and temperature pretreatment. A, Activity as a function of pH. Zea m 1d was applied at 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ to heat-inactivated walls from wheat coleoptiles in 50 mM DMGA adjusted to different pH values. B, Activity as a function of Zea m 1d concentration, assayed in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5). Data represent means \pm SE of the induced extension rate, expressed as percentage increase in length per hour (mean of at least five replicates). C, Thermostability of Zea m 1d. Purified Zea m 1d was pre-incubated for 4 h at different temperatures and then assayed (at 0.3 mg mL⁻¹) for wall extension activity with heat-inactivated wheat coleoptile wall in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5), with 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT); activity is expressed as the percentage of control which was Zea m 1d incubated for the same time at 4°C. Data reported are the averages \pm SE of at least four measurements.




Figure 7. Residual wall-loosening activity after removal of unbound Zea m 1d and after protease treatment. A, After 30 min of extension of the heat-inactivated wall in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5), the incubation solution was changed for one containing 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ Zea m 1d, and the wall was extended for a further 30 min. The solution in the extensometer cuvette was exchanged 5 \times with buffer without Zea m 1d ("Treatment"). The negative control contained no Zea m 1d, whereas the positive control continued extending in 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ Zea m 1d. B, Destruction of wall extension activity by Pronase. Heat-inactivated wheat coleoptiles were pre-incubated for 1 h with 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ of Zea m 1d in 50 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.5. After three washes with 50 mM MES, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM DTT (pH 6.0), they were then further pretreated for 1 h at room temperature with 2 mg mL⁻¹ of Pronase in the washing buffer. The walls were then assayed for residual Zea m 1 activity. At the time indicated by the arrow, the buffer was switched from pH 7.5 buffer to pH 6.0 buffer (50 mM DMGA in both cases). All of the above experiments were performed at least five times with similar results.

induce prolonged extension before breakage. It also did not occur in crude pollen extracts, which suggests the presence of agents in the pollen extract that modify Zea m 1d extension activity.

For further comparison with α -expansin properties (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992), we assessed the effect of a number of substances on Zea m 1d activity (Fig. 8A). At 10 mM, the thiol-reducing reagent DTT, the divalent ion chelator EDTA, and fluoride ion increased Zea m 1d activity by 42%, 29%, and 32%,




Figure 8. Dependence of Zea m 1 activity on various agents and on source of cell wall. A, Effects of thiol reagent, chelator, inorganic ion, sugar, and sugar acids on Zea m 1 activity. Heat-inactivated wheat coleoptiles were initially extended in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5). After 30 min, the bathing solution was replaced by the same buffer containing 0.3 mg mL⁻¹ Zea m 1d and effectors: 10 mM DTT, EDTA, F⁻¹, 2 mM Al³⁺, Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺, or 100 mM Gal, cellobiose, and GlcUA, and GalUA. Data represent means \pm SE of the induced extension rate, expressed as percentage increase in length per hour ($n = 5-8$). B and C, Substrate preference of Zea m 1d. Heat-inactivated walls of Type II (B) and Type I (C) were assayed using Zea m 1d (0.3 mg mL⁻¹). Wall extension activity is expressed as the increase in extension rate (percentage per hour). Negative data arise in some Type I walls because of nonzero extension rates before addition of Zea m 1d. Data are means \pm SE of at least five measurements.

respectively. At 2 mM, Al³⁺ did not affect the Zea m 1d activity, although it prevented walls from breaking at the later stage of the extension assay. Cu²⁺ inhibited the extension activity by 30%, and the sulfhydryl group-modifying reagent Hg²⁺ (2 mM) almost completely abolished Zea m 1 activity, suggesting involvement of a sulfhydryl group in maintaining Zea m 1 in an active conformation. GalUA, at 100 mM, enhanced Zea m 1d activity by 62%, whereas GlcUA, Gal, and cellobiose had little effect at the same concentration.

Zea m 1 Acts Selectively on Grass Cell Walls

Purified Zea m 1d induced extension of coleoptile cell walls from various grass species and walls from maize silks (Fig. 8B). In contrast, dicot cell walls did

not extend in response to Zea m 1d (Fig. 8C). Similar results were obtained with the other three Zea m 1 isoforms (data not shown). This result is in agreement with the previous observation of Cosgrove et al. (1997) obtained with crude maize pollen extracts and shows that grass walls are much more responsive to all four Zea m1 isoforms than dicot (or Type I) walls.

Zea m 1 Is Resistant to Denaturation by Methanol Boiling and Heat Treatments

In earlier studies, both the native wall extension activity (Cosgrove, 1989; Keller and Cosgrove, 1995; Wu et al., 1996) and purified α -expansin activity (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992) were shown to survive methanol boiling, a treatment that is commonly used to eliminate enzymatic activity before viscoelastic assays of isolated cell walls (Cosgrove, 1993). We found that Zea m 1 was likewise resistant to methanol boiling. When maize pollen was boiled for 5 min in 100% methanol, approximately 80% of the extension activity was retained in pollen extracts, as compared with that from untreated pollen. Furthermore, when lyophilized Zea m 1d was suspended in pure methanol, boiled for 5 min, and resuspended in 50 mM sodium acetate and 5 mM DTT (pH 4.5), about 85% of the Zea m 1d extension activity was recovered, as compared with protein not boiled in methanol. Thus, resistance to denaturation by hot methanol seems to be a property characteristic of both α - and β -expansins.

To assess its sensitivity to heat denaturation in aqueous solutions, Zea m 1d was pre-incubated for 4 h in 50 mM sodium acetate and 5 mM DTT (pH 4.5) at different temperatures, then assayed for wall extension activity (Fig. 6C). Zea m 1d retained full activity at 30°C and 40°C, 72% of its activity at 50°C, and none of its activity at 60°C. Moreover, Zea m 1d maintained full activity after incubation at 31°C for 48 h or after storage at -20°C for more than 2 years. Similarly, about 91% of the Zea m 1d activity was recovered after it was lyophilized to a dried powder and stored at 4°C for 6 months. Thus, native Zea m 1d is very stable and does not exhibit the instability of recombinant Phl p 1, the group 1 allergen of *Phleum pratense* (Grobe et al., 1999).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we developed a simple and efficient protocol to purify Zea m 1, a pollen β -expansin (group 1 allergen) of maize, and we characterized the physical properties and wall rheological activity of the purified isoforms. This is an advance over the previous report of Zea m 1 wall-loosening activity (Cosgrove et al., 1997), which used either whole pollen extracts or partially purified fractions in which the different Zea m 1 isoforms were not separated. We resolved four Zea m 1 isoforms, each of which

induced rapid wall extension, enhanced wall stress relaxation, and was selective for grass cell walls. A similar selectivity of activity was reported previously for whole pollen extracts from maize (Cosgrove et al., 1997) but not tested for purified Zea m 1.

Although our results confirmed the wall extension activity of purified Zea m 1 isoforms and showed that they have the same specific activity (Table I), we found significant and unexpected activity differences compared with α -expansins, most notably in the pH dependence of wall extension, the amount of protein needed to induce wall extension, and the tendency to cause cell wall breakage after a brief period of extension. These differences in properties suggest that the activity of Zea m 1 (and by extrapolation group 1 allergens from other grass species) differs from that of other α - and β -expansins believed to mediate acid-induced wall extension in vegetative tissues. One might consider that the premature wall breakage found in our study is due to some peculiarity of the grass wall that becomes weakened upon heat inactivation. However, this is not the case because breakage is less evident when such walls are treated with α -expansins or with whole pollen extracts (Cosgrove et al., 1997). The latter observation also suggests that additional factors in the pollen extracts may modify the action of Zea m 1 on cell walls. Furthermore, wall breakage at an early stage of extension is not typical of acid-induced extension of native walls from grasses (Rayle and Cleland, 1972; Cleland et al., 1987; Cosgrove and Li, 1993; Li et al., 1993; Cho and Kende, 1997). Thus, the wall breakage hints at an additional activity in Zea m 1 that may not be characteristic of all expansins or of acid growth in vegetative tissues. The biphasic character of the Zea m 1 concentration dependence likewise hints at an additional activity not found with α -expansins, which have a simple, monophasic, saturating concentration dependence (McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Li et al., 1993, 1998). Finally, compared with α -expansins, Zea m 1 must be supplied at relatively high concentration to induce wall extension.

These unexpected characteristics of Zea m 1 activity may relate to the specialized role of these proteins in pollen function (Cosgrove et al., 1997), as opposed to control of cell enlargement. Consistent with this idea, phylogenetic analysis indicates that grass pollen allergens are distinctive in protein sequence from the majority of β -expansins expressed in vegetative tissues of rice and maize. These differences in sequence and protein characteristics may indicate functional specialization related to the unusual role of these grass pollen-specific expansins.

Also suggestive of a distinctive role, we note that Zea m 1 has a broad pH optimum (between 5 and 6) for wall extension activity, whereas pH optima for α -expansins and native acid-induced wall extension are 4.5 or lower (Cosgrove, 1989; McQueen-Mason et al., 1992; Li et al., 1993, 1998). Although the wall pH

of maize silks has not been measured directly, it is likely to be in the range of pH 5 to 6, as reported in many other plant tissues (Vesper, 1985; Felle, 1998; Peters et al., 1998; Soga et al., 2000; Fasano et al., 2001). This means that Zea m 1 will likely function with maximal activity at the normal pH found at its site of action and that modest changes in silk wall pH will have little effect on wall loosening by Zea m 1. Thus, Zea m 1 does not appear to be adapted as an agent of "acid growth."

In addition to its pH dependence and its tendency to cause wall breakage, Zea m 1 also differs from α -expansin in its selectivity of grass walls over dicot walls, its abundance, its glycosylation, and its solubility. The α -expansin proteins that have been studied to date are relatively rare, non-glycosylated proteins (for review, see Cosgrove et al., 2002), whereas Zea m 1 and group 1 allergens from other grass species are glycosylated proteins abundantly expressed in pollen. Unlike α -expansins, which readily precipitate at concentrations $<100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (D.M. Durachko and D.J. Cosgrove, unpublished data), we found Zea m 1 to be highly soluble and readily concentrated to more than 30 mg mL^{-1} . This high solubility probably is due to the high number of charged residues in Zea m 1, a property that is common to other group 1 allergens. Like α -expansins, Zea m 1 tolerates boiling methanol, is stimulated by thiol reductants and chelators of divalent cations, and is inhibited by Cu^{2+} and Hg^{2+} ; unlike α -expansins, it is not inhibited by Al^{3+} .

At present, it is not possible to conclude that the distinctive properties of Zea m 1 are also characteristic of β -expansins in general. This is so because β -expansin proteins from vegetative tissues have not been characterized with regard to their wall extension activities and because the group 1 allergens are distinctive in sequence. A β -expansin (CIM1) from soybean (*Glycine max*) cell cultures has been characterized partially (Downes et al., 2001) but not with respect to its potential wall extension properties. It is apparent from sequence analysis that α -expansins typically lack motifs for N-linked glycosylation, whereas β -expansins characteristically possess such motifs and have been shown to be glycosylated in the few examples studied (Marsh, 1975; Howlett and Clarke, 1981; Cottam et al., 1986; Petersen et al., 1995; Knox and Suphioglu, 1996b; Downes et al., 2001). However, we doubt that β -expansins from vegetative tissues cause early cell wall breakage or have a pH optimum >5 , as found for Zea m 1, because acid-induced extension of native walls (not heat inactivated) from grass coleoptiles does not exhibit these properties, and it is likely that β -expansins are major catalysts of acid-induced extension in grass walls (Cosgrove and Li, 1993; Li et al., 1993; Cho and Kende, 1997; Cosgrove et al., 1997).

Although the work reported here was not undertaken to address directly the question of the possible

proteolytic activity of grass group 1 allergens (Grobe et al., 1999, 2002; Li and Cosgrove, 2001), some of our observations bear on this question. We found Zea m 1 to be very stable during extraction, purification, and storage, to the extent that addition of protease inhibitors was not required during these steps. The addition of 5 mM DTT stabilized activity and prevented formation of dimers, trimers, etc. through disulfide bonds (data not shown). Our observations are consistent with the notable stability of most natural and recombinant group 1 allergens. For example, about 100 mg of Lol p 1 was purified from ryegrass pollen by multiple steps including time-consuming conventional chromatography without use of protease inhibitors (Johnson and Marsh, 1965b; Marsh et al., 1966). Suck et al. (1999) purified milligrams of natural Phl p 1 by two chromatographic steps without addition of protease inhibitors except EDTA (a metallo-protease inhibitor).

In contrast, Grobe et al. (1999) concluded that recombinant Phl p 1 was a protease because when expressed in *Pichia pastoris*, it was highly unstable and was rapidly degraded; they reported it to have some characteristics of a Ser protease, although their sequence analysis suggested it to be a Cys protease. Undermining these results, the same group (Poppelmann et al., 2002) later reported that expression of Phl p 1 in *P. pastoris* induced a highly active Ser protease. Our experience with Zea m 1 purification confirms our recent conclusion that grass group 1 allergens do not possess proteolytic activity (Li and Cosgrove, 2001), and we suspect that the instability of recombinant Phl p 1 reported by Grobe et al. (1999) was due to protease contamination.

Downes et al. (2001) studied the proteolytic processing of CIM1, a vegetative β -expansin expressed in soybean cell cultures. The protein that accumulated in the culture medium was proteolytically cleaved in discrete steps, including removal of a short peptide containing a predicted glycosylation site at the N terminus. We did not find evidence for such proteolytic cleavage in any of the four Zea m 1 isoforms. Because Zea m 1 isoforms were active in causing wall extension, proteolytic processing is not necessary for expansin activity (see also Li and Cosgrove, 2001). The significance of proteolytic processing of CIM1 in soybean cultures is unknown but probably is the first stage in CIM1 degradation by extracellular proteases.

Zea m 1 Isoforms

The presence of multiple isoforms of Zea m 1 is typical of the situation found in other grass pollens. Multiple forms (or isoallergens) of grass group 1 pollen allergens were first described by Johnson and Marsh (1965a, 1965b) for Lol p 1 from ryegrass pollen. Similarly, four isoforms of Poa p 1 from *Poa pratensis* were observed by two-dimensional gel elec-

trophoresis and immunoblotting (Ekramoddoullah, 1990) and six isoforms of Phl p 1 from *P. pratense* (Petersen et al., 1993, 1995).

There are at least two possible reasons for such isoform multiplicity, namely: (a) multiple genes or alleles encoding somewhat different forms of the protein, and (b) variable posttranslational modifications, including glycosylation, hydroxylation of Pro residues, disulfide bond formation, Met oxidation, etc. (Petersen et al., 1993, 1995, 1997; Knox and Suphioglu, 1996b; Hiller et al., 1997). In maize, we found two divergent classes of Zea m 1 cDNAs with quite different protein sequence (only approximately 62% identical to each other). This sequence divergence is larger than what has been noted previously. Each of these two classes is encoded by at least two maize genes, giving a total of at least four genes encoding Zea m 1 isoforms. A similar situation seems to hold for rice, which likewise has both divergent classes of group 1 allergens (Fig. 2), with three members in Class A and one member in Class B. BLAST searches of the rice EST database (data not shown) indicates that these four rice genes are expressed in panicles at the flowering stage, i.e. when mature pollen is present; thus, they are presumptive group 1 allergens. EST analysis likewise indicates both classes are also found in wheat and barley (*Hordeum sativum*; Fig. 2). Other grass species lack Class B representatives in GenBank. It is possible that these other grass species also contain Class B group 1 pollen allergens, but they simply have not been identified yet due to the limited sequence analysis in these species; alternatively, Class B group 1 allergens may be present only in a subset of grasses. It is also notable that although the group 1 allergens are members of the β -expansin gene family, phylogenetic analysis always shows them to be clustered and separated from the main group of β -expansins. This divergence in sequence and specificity of expression may point to a significant divergence in biochemical function that is specific to pollen function.

Despite their sequence divergence, the four isoforms of Zea m 1 that we purified and characterized in this study show rather similar biochemical characteristics, as has been found for other grass pollen group 1 isoallergens (Knox and Suphioglu, 1996a, 1996b). The presence of multiple group 1 isoforms in many different grasses points to a selection pressure maintaining this trait. We suspect that the multiple isoforms may have distinctive developmental or biochemical functions that have not yet been discerned from in vitro analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Mouse monoclonal antibodies (antisites A and D) raised against Lol p 1 were kindly provided by Dr. David G. Klapper (Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill). Ammonium persulfate (electrophoresis reagent), Coomassie

Brilliant Blue R-250, Ponceau S, cytochrome C, and DMGA were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis). Piperazine-N,N'-bis(4-butanedisulfonic acid) and piperazine-N,N'-bis(3-propanedisulfonic acid) were purchased from GFS Chemicals, Inc. (Columbus, OH). Methanol (HPLC grade) was purchased from Mallinckrodt Baker, Inc. (Phillipsburg, NJ). Sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI). All other chemicals used for electrophoresis were obtained from Research Organics, Inc. (Cleveland).

Plant Materials

Maize (*Zea mays*) pollen and silks were collected in August 1998 and 1999 from maize plants grown in State College, PA, and stored at -80°C . Seeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv Pennmore), oat (*Avena sativa* L. cv Olge), barley (*Hordeum sativum* L. cv Barsoy), rice (*Oryza sativa* L. cv Nipponbare), and maize cv FR1064 \times LH185 were germinated in moist Metro-Mix 360 growing medium (Scotts-Sierra Horticultural Products Co., Marysville, OH) at 27°C to 29°C in complete darkness for 3 to 5 d. Seeds of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L. cv Burpee Pickler), pea (*Pisum sativum* L. cv Alaska), soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr. cv Williams 82), tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* cv Rutgers), oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* cv Qinyu No. 2), and pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. cv Long Red Cayenne) were sown on wet germination paper (Kimpak K-22, Seedburo Equipment Co., Chicago) at 27°C to 29°C in darkness for 4 to 6 d. Coleoptiles from etiolated grass seedlings were cut, gently abraded by rubbing them between two fingers coated with a slurry of well washed carborundum (320 grit, Fisher Scientific Inc., Fair Lawn, NJ), separated from primary leaves, and then stored at -20°C before use. Dicot hypocotyls were quickly excised from etiolated seedlings under room light and directly frozen at -20°C for wall extension assays.

cDNA Isolation

Poly(A⁺) RNA was isolated from young starch-filled pollen from maize inbred line K21, and a cDNA library was constructed in the Lambda ZAP cloning vector (Stratagene Corp., La Jolla, CA) with EcoRI/NotI adapters (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). The library was screened by hybridization with a partial Zea m 1 cDNA (GenBank Accession no. L14271). Eleven clones were isolated and upon sequencing were found to correspond to two distinctive cDNAs identified here as GenBank AY197353 (seven clones) and GenBank AY197352 (four clones). One additional clone (pSF23) was identified that closely matched AY197353, except for two small insertions in the 3'-untranslated region.

Eleven cDNAs corresponding to GenBank AY104125 and AY104999 were also identified by differential screening of the pollen library. Duplicate filters were hybridized utilizing both a subtracted probe (made by hybridization of P³²-labeled pollen cDNA with excess biotinylated endosperm mRNA, reaction with streptavidin, followed by phenol extraction) and an unsubtracted pollen P³²-cDNA probe; in the latter case, signals were compared with those on a duplicate filter hybridized with endosperm P³²-cDNA.

Purification of β -Expansin Proteins

Approximately 20 g of maize pollen was extracted in 80 mL of 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) for 1 h at 4°C . The extract was centrifuged at 15,000g at 4°C and then loaded onto a CM-Sepharose Fast Flow (Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala) column (15 \times 300 mm) equilibrated in 20 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5). The column was washed with the same buffer until the A₂₈₀ returned to baseline and then eluted at 2 mL min⁻¹ with a linear gradient of NaCl (0–500 mM in 2.5 h) followed by 1 h in 500 mM NaCl in the same buffer. Fractions were collected at 4 mL per tube.

The fractions from CM-Sepharose column chromatography were desalted and concentrated by ultrafiltration (Ultrafree-15 centrifugal filter device-Biomax 10K NMWL membrane; Millipore Co., Bedford, MA). Active fractions were pooled and filtered (Ultrafree-MC 0.45- μm centrifugal filter, Millipore Co.) and then loaded onto a silica-based CM-HPLC column (4.6 \times 250 mm, Synchropak CM300/6.5 μm , ISCO Inc., Lincoln, NE). Proteins were eluted at 1 mL min⁻¹ with a linear gradient of 0 to 550 mM NaCl and 20 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) for 40 min. The fractions were collected by peak mode and desalted as above before storing at -20°C . Proteins were quan-

tified colorimetrically with the Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Wall Extension and Stress Relaxation Assays

Wall extension activity was measured with a constant load extensometer (Cosgrove, 1989) using grass coleoptile walls as a sensitive substrate for β -expansin activity (Cosgrove et al., 1997). In brief, sample tissues (except grass coleoptile) prepared as above were quickly abraded with carborundum to disrupt the cuticle, submerged in boiling distilled water for 15 s, and secured between two clamps (with 5 mm between the clamps) under constant tension. To compensate for the varying thickness of the cell wall specimens, a 5-g weight was used to keep the silk walls under constant tension, whereas a 20-g weight was used for the coleoptile and hypocotyl walls. Protein fractions in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) were added to the extensometer cuvettes (volume = 0.20 mL) after the walls were initially bathed in the same buffer for about 30 min. Protein fractions were assayed using wheat coleoptile walls unless otherwise indicated because they were easy to prepare and had low breakage and a lower baseline extension rate.

For stress relaxation assays, wheat coleoptiles, prepared as described above, were clamped (5 mm between the jaws) in a custom-made tensile tester (Cosgrove, 1989). Heat-inactivated walls were pretreated for 10 min in either 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) or the same buffer containing 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ of each Zea m 1 isoform, then stored on ice before stress relaxation measurement. Each tissue segment was extended at a rate of 170 $\mu\text{m min}^{-1}$ until a stress of 20 g was attained and thereafter held at a constant strain. Stress was recorded over 5 min by a computer with a minimum sampling rate of 2 ms, gradually increasing to 2 s. The relaxation spectrum was calculated as the derivative of the stress with respect to log (time).

Electrophoresis

Proteins were separated by discontinuous SDS-PAGE in a minigel apparatus (Protein II, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) using 3.4% (w/v) stacking polyacrylamide gel and 12% (w/v) separation gel according to the method of Laemmli (1970). Samples were first heated to 95°C for 5 min in sample buffer with or without 100 mM DTT. Minigels containing proteins were stained with 0.1% (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 in a solution containing 10% (v/v) acetic acid and 30% (v/v) methanol. After destaining, gels were photographed with a Kodak Digital Science DC40 camera (Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY), and the M_r of each Zea m 1 isoform was estimated with Kodak 1d image analysis software. The protein marker for SDS-PAGE was from Novagen Inc. (catalog no. 69149, Madison, WI).

Native PAGE was modified from Panyim and Chalkley (1969) and performed on a Bio-Rad Mini Protean II system. The gel contained 10% (w/v) acrylamide, 0.27% (w/v) bisacrylamide, 50 mM acetic acid (pH 4.5), and 2.5 M urea. Samples were loaded in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) and 10% (w/v) Suc and run in 50 mM sodium acetate (pH 4.5) at 120 V for 2 h. Bromophenol blue was added to sample at a final concentration of 0.01% (w/v) to improve visibility of proteins during loading samples. The electrodes were reversed at the power supply so that the positively charged Zea m 1 protein could migrate to the bottom of the gel (the negative cathode). The gels were stained as described for SDS-PAGE gels. Cytochrome C was used as a front dye for indicating the progress of electrophoresis.

For IEF, Ampholine PAGplate (pH 3.5–9.5; T = 5%, C = 3%) and Broad pI Calibration Kit (pH 3–10) were from Pharmacia Biotech AB. The gel was mounted onto a water-cooled (15°C) 2117 Multiphor II electrophoresis unit (Pharmacia Biotech AB), and 2 μg of each Zea m 1 isoform and 20 μL of pI standards were focused for 1.5 h at 1,500 V, 50 mA, and 30 W. Immediately after IEF, the gel was fixed, washed, and visualized with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250. The migrations of the Zea m 1 bands and pI standards were documented with a Kodak DC40 digital camera, and the pI of each Zea m 1 isoform was determined by the Kodak analysis software.

Immunoblot Analysis

For SDS-PAGE and native PAGE gels, proteins were electrophoretically transferred on a semidry blot apparatus (Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry Electrophoretic Transfer Cell, Bio-Rad Laboratories) to a Protran BA nitrocellulose membrane (Schleicher & Schull, Keene, NH). Transfers were carried out in a solution of 192 mM Gly, 25 mM Tris, and 20% (v/v) methanol at 1.2 mA

cm² for 1 h. Proteins in IEF gels were transferred by capillarity onto the same membrane for 1.5 h. After transfer, membranes were stained with Ponceau S solution for protein detection. For immunodetection of Zea m 1 proteins, the membranes were blocked with 10% (v/v) horse serum in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and 5 mM sodium azide, incubated for 2 h with the same solution containing mouse monoclonal antibodies against Lol p 1 (antisite A, 1:150 [v/v] dilution; antisite D, 1:200,000 [v/v] dilution), washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and 5 mM sodium azide and with Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20 and 5 mM sodium azide, and then incubated for 1 h with goat anti-rabbit IgG (heavy and light chains)-conjugated alkaline phosphatase conjugate (dilution of 1:1,000 [v/v]; Sigma-Aldrich Corp.). The protein-containing membranes were washed and then developed with 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate and 0.2 mg mL⁻¹ nitroblue tetrazolium (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) in the substrate buffer (100 mM Tris/HCl [pH 9.5], 100 mM NaCl, and 5 mM MgCl₂). The prestained protein ladder was purchased from Life Technologies/Gibco-BRL (catalog no. 10748-010, Rockville, MD).

Carbohydrate Detection

The carbohydrate moiety of Zea m 1 was detected by the glycan detection kit (Boehringer Mannheim Co.) according to the manufacturer's description. In brief, approximate 1 µg of each Zea m 1 isoform was dissolved in 0.1 M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5). The vicinal hydroxyl groups of Zea m 1 carbohydrate moieties were oxidized to aldehydes in 30 mM sodium meta-periodate for 20 min in the dark at room temperature and labeled with digoxigenin-succinyl-epsilon-amidocaproic acid hydrazide for 1 h at room temperature. The labeled Zea m 1 isoforms were then separated on SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and visualized as described above for western blotting, except that alkaline phosphatase-labeled anti-digoxigenin antibody was used.

MS

The highly purified Zea m 1d was analyzed at the Penn State MS Center. MALDI-TOF mass spectra were obtained on a Voyager-DE STR MALDI-TOF (PerSeptive Biosystems, Foster City, CA), whereas ESI-MS was carried out on Mariner Electrospray-TOF workstation (PerSeptive Biosystems, Framingham, MA), which was coupled with a model 1100 HPLC (Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, CA). For MALDI-TOF analysis, 1 µL of the protein sample containing Zea m 1d was dissolved into water:methanol:acetic acid/ (49:50:1 [v/v]) at approximately 2 pmol µL⁻¹ and then mixed with 1 µL of 10 µg mL⁻¹ sinapinic acid in acetonitrile:water:trifluoroacetic acid (70:29:0.1 [v/v]) as a matrix solution. One microliter of this mixture was deposited on the target plate and dried to form uniform crystals. Spectra were accumulated from 76 laser shots (nitrogen laser = 337 nm). For ESI-MS analysis, the Zea m 1d Protein or its tryptic peptides were automatically loaded into the HPLC, linearly eluted using water:acetonitrile:formic acid solvent system (pH 2.5) at 50 µL min⁻¹ from a microbore column (BetaBasic C₁₈, 1 × 50 mm, Keystone Scientific Co., Bellefonte, PA) and directly introduced into ESI-MS system. The mass scale was calibrated with sodium trifluoroacetate cluster ions before sample analysis.

For tryptic peptide analysis, 25 µL of Zea m 1d solution, containing 7.5 µg of Zea m 1d, was dried under vacuum. After the protein was redissolved in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.5), 1 µg of sequencing-grade modified trypsin was added, and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 15 h. The proteolytic fragments were then stored at -80°C for ESI-MS analysis. Peptide mass data were collected and then analyzed with web-based software provided by the ExPASy Molecular Biology Server (<http://www.expasy.org>).

N-Terminal Amino Acid Sequence Analysis

Samples of HPLC purified Zea m 1a to d were subjected to N-terminal amino acid sequence analysis at the Macromolecular Core Facility of College of Medicine (The Pennsylvania State University, Hershey). Approximately 1 nmol of Zea m 1d was dissolved in a minimal amount of neat trifluoroacetic acid and spotted onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane for sequencing by automated Edman degradation on a pulsed-liquid-phase microsequencer (model 477A Protein Sequencer, Perkin-Elmer/Applied Biosystems, Foster

City, CA) with an online 120A HPLC for analyzing the phenylthiohydantoin amino acid derivatives.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Mature protein sequences (without the signal peptide) were aligned using the slow-accurate method of ClustalW within the Megalign program (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI). Method parameters were: gap penalty of 10 and gap length penalty of 0.10. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by the neighbor-joining method with MEGA2 version 2.1 software (Sudhir Kumar, Koichiro Tamura, Ingrid B. Jakobsen, and Masatoshi Nei, Arizona State University, Tempe), using p distances, pair-wise deletion, and 1,000 replications.

Distribution of Materials

Upon request, all novel materials described in this publication will be made available in a timely manner for noncommercial research purposes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. David G. Klapper (Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill) for the gifts of monoclonal antibodies against Lol p 1, Ms. Anne Stanley (Macromolecular Core Facility, College of Medicine, the Pennsylvania State University, Hershey) for N-terminal amino acid sequence analysis of Zea m 1, Dr. Mark W. Shieh (Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA) for sequencing of Zea m 1 clones, and Dr. Teh-hui Kao (Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, the Pennsylvania State University, University Park) for use of the Multiphor II electrophoresis unit. We also thank Daniel M. Durachko, Melva Perich, Mara Guttmann, Mark D. Spiro, Mark W. Shieh, Sheng Yuan, Tanya Shcherban, and Yajun Wu (Pennsylvania State University, University Park) for help with maize pollen collection.

Received January 7, 2003; returned for revision January 28, 2003; accepted April 28, 2003.

LITERATURE CITED

- Broadwater AH, Rubinstein AL, Chay CH, Klapper DG, Bedinger PA (1993) Zea m1, the maize homolog of the allergen-encoding Lol p1 gene of rye grass. *Gene* 131: 227–230
- Carpita NC (1996) Structure and biogenesis of the cell walls of grasses. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Mol Biol* 47: 445–476
- Carpita NC, Gibeaut DM (1993) Structural models of primary cell walls in flowering plants: consistency of molecular structure with the physical properties of the walls during growth. *Plant J* 3: 1–30
- Cho HT, Kende H (1997) Expansins and internodal growth of deepwater rice. *Plant Physiol* 113: 1145–1151
- Cleland RE, Cosgrove DJ, Tepfer M (1987) Long-term acid-induced wall extension in an *in-vitro* system. *Planta* 170: 379–385
- Cosgrove DJ (1989) Characterization of long-term extension of isolated cell walls from growing cucumber hypocotyls. *Planta* 177: 121–130
- Cosgrove DJ (1993) Wall extensibility: its nature, measurement and relationship to plant cell growth. *New Phytol* 124: 1–23
- Cosgrove DJ (2000) Loosening of plant cell walls by expansins. *Nature* 407: 321–326
- Cosgrove DJ, Bedinger PA, Durachko DM (1997) Group I allergens of grass pollen as cell wall-loosening agents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 94: 6559–6564
- Cosgrove DJ, Li Z-C (1993) Role of expansin in cell enlargement of oat coleoptiles: analysis of developmental gradients and photocontrol. *Plant Physiol* 103: 1321–1328
- Cosgrove DJ, Li L-C, Cho H-T, Hoffmann-Benning S, Moore RC, Blecker D (2002) The growing world of expansins. *Plant Cell Physiol* 43: 1436–1444
- Cottam GP, Moran DM, Standring R (1986) Physicochemical and immunochemical characterization of allergenic proteins from rye-grass (*Lolium*

- perenne*) pollen prepared by a rapid and efficient purification method. *Biochem J* 234: 305–310
- Downes BP, Steinbaker CR, Crowell DN (2001) Expression and processing of a hormonally regulated β -expansin from soybean. *Plant Physiol* 126: 244–252
- Ekramoddoullah AKM (1990) Two dimensional gel electrophoretic analysis of Kentucky bluegrass and rye grass pollen allergen. *Int Arch Allergy Appl Immunol* 93: 371–377
- Esch RE, Klapper DG (1989) Isolation and characterization of a major cross-reactive grass group I allergenic determinant. *Mol Immunol* 26: 557–561
- Fasano JM, Swanson SJ, Blancaflor EB, Dowd PE, Kao TH, Gilroy S (2001) Changes in root cap pH are required for the gravity response of the *Arabidopsis* root. *Plant Cell* 13: 907–921
- Felle HH (1998) The apoplastic pH of the *Zea mays* root cortex as measured with pH-sensitive microelectrodes: aspects of regulation. *J Exp Bot* 49: 987–995
- Grobe K, Becker W-M, Schlaak M, Petersen A (1999) Grass group I allergens (β -expansins) are novel, papain-related proteinases. *Eur J Biochem* 263: 33–40
- Grobe K, Poppelmann M, Becker W-M, Petersen A (2002) Properties of group I allergens from grass pollen and their relation to cathepsin B, a member of the C1 family of cysteine proteinases. *Eur J Biochem* 269: 2083–2092
- Hiller KH, Esch RE, Klapper DG (1997) Mapping of an allergenically important determinant of grass group I allergens. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 100: 335–340
- Howlett BJ, Clarke AE (1981) Isolation and partial characterization of two antigenic glycoproteins from rye-grass (*Lolium perenne*) pollen. *Biochem J* 197: 695–706
- Johnson P, Marsh DG (1965a) "Isoallergens" from rye grass pollen. *Nature* 206: 935–937
- Johnson P, Marsh DG (1965b) The isolation and characterization of allergens from the pollen of rye grass (*Lolium perenne*). *Eur Poly J* 1: 63–77
- Keller E, Cosgrove DJ (1995) Expansins in growing tomato leaves. *Plant J* 8: 795–802
- Knox RB, Suphioglu C (1996a) Environmental and molecular biology of pollen allergens. *Trends Plant Sci* 1: 156–164
- Knox RB, Suphioglu C (1996b) Pollen allergens: development and function. *Sex Plant Reprod* 9: 318–323
- Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227: 680–685
- Lee Y, Choi D, Kende H (2001) Expansins: ever-expanding numbers and functions. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 4: 527–532
- Lee Y, Kende H (2001) Expression of β -expansins is correlated with internodal elongation in deepwater rice. *Plant Physiol* 127: 645–654
- Li L-C, Cosgrove DJ (2001) Grass group I pollen allergens (β -expansins) lack proteinase activity and do not cause wall loosening via proteolysis. *Eur J Biochem* 268: 4217–4226
- Li L-C, Wang X-C, Jing J-H (1998) The existence of expansin and its properties in the hypocotyls of soybean seedlings. *Acta Bot Sin* 40: 627–634
- Li Y, Darley CP, Ongaro V, Fleming A, Schipper O, Baldauf SL, McQueen-Mason SJ (2002) Plant expansins are a complex multigene family with an ancient evolutionary origin. *Plant Physiol* 128: 854–864
- Li Z-C, Durachko DM, Cosgrove DJ (1993) An oat coleoptile wall protein that induces wall extension in vitro and that is antigenically related to a similar protein from cucumber hypocotyls. *Planta* 191: 349–356
- Malley A, Reed CE, Lietze A (1962) Isolation of the allergen from timothy pollen. *J Allergy* 33: 84–93 (123)
- Marsh DG (1975) Allergens and the genetics of allergy. In Sela M, ed, *The Antigens*, Vol 3. Academic Press, New York, pp 271–359
- Marsh DG, Milner FH, Johnson P (1966) The allergenic activity and stability of purified allergens from the pollen of common rye grass (*Lolium perenne*). *Int Arch Allergy* 29: 521–535
- McQueen-Mason SJ, Durachko DM, Cosgrove DJ (1992) Two endogenous proteins that induce cell wall extension in plants. *Plant Cell* 4: 1425–1433
- Panyim S, Chalkley R (1969) High resolution acrylamide gel electrophoresis of histones. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 130: 337–346
- Peters WS, Lüthen H, Böttger M, Felle H (1998) The temporal correlation of changes in apoplast pH and growth rate in maize coleoptile segments. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 25: 21–25
- Petersen A, Becker W-M, Moll H, Blumke M, Schlaak M (1995) Studies on the carbohydrate moieties of the timothy grass pollen allergen Phl p I. *Electrophoresis* 16: 869–875
- Petersen A, Becker W-M, Schlaak M (1993) Characterization of grass group I allergen in timothy grass pollen. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 92: 789–796
- Petersen A, Grobe K, Lindner B, Schlaak M, Becker W-M (1997) Comparison of natural and recombinant isoforms of grass pollen allergens. *Electrophoresis* 18: 819–825
- Poppelmann M, Becker WM, Petersen A (2002) Combination of zymography and immunodetection to analyze proteins in complex culture supernatants. *Electrophoresis* 23: 993–997
- Rayle DL, Cleland R (1972) The *in vitro* acid-growth response: relation to *in vivo* growth responses and auxin action. *Planta* 104: 282–296
- Schipper O, Schaefer D, Reski R, Fleming A (2002) Expansins in the bryophyte *Physcomitrella patens*. *Plant Mol Biol* 50: 789–802
- Shcherban TY, Shi J, Durachko DM, Guiltinan MJ, McQueen-Mason SJ, Shieh M, Cosgrove DJ (1995) Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of expansins—a highly conserved, multigene family of proteins that mediate cell wall extension in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92: 9245–9249
- Soga K, Wakabayashi K, Hoson T, Kamisaka S (2000) Hypergravity-induced increase in the apoplastic pH and its possible involvement in suppression of β -glucan breakdown in maize seedlings. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 27: 967–972
- Suck R, Hagen S, Cromwell O, Fiebig H (1999) Rapid and efficient purification of *Phleum pratense* major allergens Phl p 1 and group Phl p 2/3 using a two-step procedure. *J Immunol Methods* 229: 73–80
- Vesper MJ (1985) Use of a pH-response curve for growth to predict apparent wall pH in elongating segments of maize coleoptiles and sunflower hypocotyls. *Planta* 166: 96–104
- Wu Y-J, Meeley RB, Cosgrove DJ (2001) Analysis and expression of the α -expansin and β -expansin gene families in maize. *Plant Physiol* 126: 222–232
- Wu Y-J, Sharp RE, Durachko DM, Cosgrove DJ (1996) Growth maintenance of the maize primary root at low water potentials involves increases in cell-wall extension properties, expansin activity, and wall susceptibility to expansins. *Plant Physiol* 111: 765–772

[illegible]

126	S A G E L E L Q F R R V K C K Y P D D T K P T F H V E K G S N P N Y L A I L V K	Lolp1a
126	S A G E L E L Q F R R V K C K Y P E G T K V T F H V E K G S N P N Y L A L L V K	Phlp1a
466	K A G I I D T Q F F R R V K C K Y P A D T K I T F H I E K A S N P N Y L A L L V K	AF261270_OryS1_EXPB1
151	H C G I M D V E F F R R V K C K Y P A G Q K I V F H I E K G C N P N Y L A V L V K	ZmEXPB1_P58738
178	F A N K D G T V V Q L D V M E S L P S G K P T R V W T P M R R S W G S I W R L D	U95968
178	Y E D G E G D I G S M H I R Q - - - A G S K E W I S M K H I W G A N W C I V	U95967
196	Y E D G D G D L A K V E L K E A - - - L D S G S W D S M Q Q S W G A V W K F D	U03860
166	Y I D G D G D V V A V D I K E - - - K G K D K W I E L K E S W G A V W R V D	Holl1a
166	Y V D G D G D V V A V D I K E - - - K G K D K W I E L K E S W G A V W R I D	Lolp1a
166	Y V N G D G D V V A V D I K E - - - K G K D K W I E L K E S W G A I W R I D	Phlp1a
586	Y V A G D G D V V E V E I K E - - - K G S E E W K A L K E S W G A I W R I D	AF261270_OryS1_EXPB1
191	Y V A D D G D I V L M E I Q D - - - K L S A E W K K P M K L S W G A I W R M D	ZmEXPB1_P58738
218	A N H R L Q G P X S L R M V S - E S G Q T V I A H Q V I P A N W R A N T N Y G S	U95968
213	E G - P L K G P F S V K L T T L S N N K T L S A T D V I P S N W V P K A T Y T S	U95967
232	K G S P L R A P F S I K L T T L E S G Q T I V A N N V I P A G W T P G Q T Y R S	U03860
201	T P D K L T G P F T V R Y T T - E G G T K G E A E D V I P E G W K A D T A Y E A	Holl1a
201	T P D K L T G P F T V R Y T T - E G G T K S E V E D V I P E G W K A D T S Y S A	Lolp1a
201	T P D K L T G P F T V R Y T T - E G G T K T E A E D V I P E G W K A D T S Y E S	Phlp1a
691	T P K P L K G P F S V R V T T - E G G E K I I A E D A I P D G W K A D S V Y K S	AF261270_OryS1_EXPB1
226	T A K A L K G P F S I R L T S - E S G K K V I A K D V I P A N W R P D A V Y T S	ZmEXPB1_P58738
257	K V Q F R	U95968
252	R L N F S P V L	U95967
272	I V N F A T	U03860
240	K	Holl1a
240	K	Lolp1a
240	K	Phlp1a
808	N V Q A K Y	AF261270_OryS1_EXPB1
265	N V Q F Y	ZmEXPB1_P58738



A service of the National Library of Medicine
and the National Institutes of Health

My NCBI
[Sign In] [Re]

All Databases

PubMed

Nucleotide

Protein

Genome

Structure

OMIM

PMC

Journals

B

Search PubMed for homolog Go Clear Save S

Limits

Preview/Index

History

Clipboard

Details

Display Summary

Show

500

Pub Date

Send to

About Entrez

Text Version

All: 15579 Review: 585

Items 11001 - 11500 of 15579

Previous Page 23 of 32 Next

Entrez PubMed

Overview

Help | FAQ

Tutorials

New/Noteworthy

E-Utilities

PubMed Services

Journals Database

MeSH Database

Single Citation Matcher

Batch Citation Matcher

Clinical Queries

Special Queries

LinkOut

My NCBI

Related Resources

Order Documents

NLM Mobile

NLM Catalog

NLM Gateway

TOXNET

Consumer Health

Clinical Alerts

ClinicalTrials.gov

PubMed Central

11001: Kumagai H, Fujiwara T, Matsubara H, Sacki K.

Related Articles, Links



Membrane localization, topology, and mutual stabilization of the rnfABC gene products in *Rhodobacter capsulatus* and implications for a new family of energy-coupling NADH oxidoreductases.

Biochemistry. 1997 May 6;36(18):5509-21.

PMID: 9154934 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

11002: Rao PV, Gonzalez P, Persson B, Jorvall H, Garland D, Zigler JS Jr.

Related Articles, Links



Guinea pig and bovine zeta-crystallins have distinct functional characteristics highlighting replacements in otherwise similar structures.

Biochemistry. 1997 May 6;36(18):5353-62.

PMID: 9154917 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

11003: Baglia LA, Bowers WJ, Ruddell A.

Related Articles, Links



The avian C/EBPgamma gene encodes a highly conserved leucine zipper transcription factor.

Gene. 1997 May 6;190(2):297-302.

PMID: 9197547 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

11004: Bukenberger M, Horn J, Dingermann T, Dotti RP, Winckler T.

Related Articles, Links



Molecular cloning of a cDNA encoding the nucleosome core histone H3 from *Dictyostelium discoideum* by genetic screening in yeast.

Biochim Biophys Acta. 1997 May 2;1352(1):85-90.

PMID: 9177486 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

11005: Yoo CJ, Wolin SL.

Related Articles, Links



The yeast La protein is required for the 3' endonucleolytic cleavage that matures tRNA precursors.

Cell. 1997 May 2;89(3):393-402.

PMID: 9150139 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

11006: Nagy L, Kao HY, Chakravarti D, Lin RJ, Hassig CA, Ayer DE, Schreiber SL, Evans RM.

Related Articles, Links



Nuclear receptor repression mediated by a complex containing SMRT, mSin3A, and histone deacetylase.

Cell. 1997 May 2;89(3):373-80.

PMID: 9150137 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11007:** [Kadosh D, Struhl K.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




Repression by Ume6 involves recruitment of a complex containing Sin3 corepressor and Rpd3 histone deacetylase to target promoters. *Cell*. 1997 May 2;89(3):365-71. PMID: 9150136 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11008:** [Laherty CD, Yang WM, Sun JM, Davie JR, Seto E, Eisenman RN.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Histone deacetylases associated with the mSin3 corepressor mediate mad transcriptional repression. *Cell*. 1997 May 2;89(3):349-56. PMID: 9150134 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11009:** [Vallejo Y, Hortsch M, Dubreuil RR.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




Ethanol does not inhibit the adhesive activity of *Drosophila* neuroglial or human L1 in *Drosophila* S2 tissue culture cells. *J Biol Chem*. 1997 May 2;272(18):12244-7. PMID: 9115300 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11010:** [Thenot S, Henriquet C, Rochefort H, Cavailles V.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




Differential interaction of nuclear receptors with the putative human transcriptional coactivator hTIF1. *J Biol Chem*. 1997 May 2;272(18):12062-8. PMID: 9115274 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11011:** [Saito M, Nelson C, Salkoff L, Lingle CJ.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



A cysteine-rich domain defined by a novel exon in a slo variant in rat adrenal chromaffin cells and PC12 cells. *J Biol Chem*. 1997 May 2;272(18):11710-7. PMID: 9115223 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11012:** [Zdanov A, Schalk-Hihi C, Menon S, Moore KW, Wlodawer A.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




Crystal structure of Epstein-Barr virus protein BCRF1, a homolog of cellular interleukin-10. *J Mol Biol*. 1997 May 2;268(2):460-7. PMID: 9159483 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11013:** [Mason SW, Wallisch M, Grummt I.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



RNA polymerase I transcription termination: similar mechanisms are employed by yeast and mammals. *J Mol Biol*. 1997 May 2;268(2):229-34. PMID: 9159465 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11014:** [The I, Hannigan GE, Cowley GS, Reginald S, Zhong Y, Gusella JF, Hariharan IK, Bernards A.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Rescue of a *Drosophila* NF1 mutant phenotype by protein kinase A. *Science*. 1997 May 2;276(5313):791-4. PMID: 9115203 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11015:** [Murdanoto AP, Sakai Y, Konishi T, Yasuda F, Tani Y, Kato N.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Purification and properties of methyl formate synthase, a mitochondrial alcohol dehydrogenase, participating in formaldehyde oxidation in methylotrophic yeasts.

Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997 May;63(5):1715-20.
PMID: 9143107 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11016:** [Shreffler W, Wolinsky E.](#) Related Articles, Links



Genes controlling ion permeability in both motoneurons and muscle.
Behav Genet. 1997 May;27(3):211-21.
PMID: 9210792 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11017:** [Warth R, Briand PA, Picard D.](#) Related Articles, Links




Functional analysis of the yeast 40 kDa cyclophilin Cyp40 and its role for viability and steroid receptor regulation.
Biol Chem. 1997 May;378(5):381-91.
PMID: 9191025 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11018:** [Taguchi S, Ogawa T, Endo T, Momose H.](#) Related Articles, Links



A gene homologous to the Streptomyces chymotrypsin-like protease (SAM-p20) gene is tandemly located.
Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. 1997 May;61(5):909-13.
PMID: 9178571 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11019:** [Wei Q, Bondy ML, Mao L, Gaun Y, Cheng L, Cunningham J, Fan Y, Bruner JM, Yung WK, Levin VA, Kyritsis AP.](#) Related Articles, Links



Reduced expression of mismatch repair genes measured by multiplex reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction in human gliomas.
Cancer Res. 1997 May 1;57(9):1673-7.
PMID: 9135006 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11020:** [Pilon M, Weisblat DA.](#) Related Articles, Links



A nanos homolog in leech.
Development. 1997 May;124(9):1771-80.
PMID: 9165124 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11021:** [Holland LZ, Kene M, Williams NA, Holland ND.](#) Related Articles, Links



Sequence and embryonic expression of the amphioxus engrailed gene (AmphiEn): the metameric pattern of transcription resembles that of its segment-polarity homolog in Drosophila.
Development. 1997 May;124(9):1723-32.
PMID: 9165120 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11022:** [Pflugrad A, Meir JY, Barnes TM, Miller DM 3rd.](#) Related Articles, Links




















The Groucho-like transcription factor UNC-37 functions with the neural specificity gene unc-4 to govern motor neuron identity in *C. elegans*.
Development. 1997 May;124(9):1699-709.
PMID: 9165118 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11023:** [Gimeno RE, Dembski M, Weng X, Deng N, Shyjan AW, Gimeno CJ, Iris F, Ellis SJ, Woolf EA, Tartaglia LA.](#) Related Articles, Links



Cloning and characterization of an uncoupling protein homolog: a potential molecular mediator of human thermogenesis.
Diabetes. 1997 May;46(5):900-6.
PMID: 9133562 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11024:** [Xuan JW, Wu D, Guo Y, Garde S, Shum DT, Mbikay M, Zhong R, Chin JL.](#) Related Articles, Links

-  Molecular cloning and gene expression analysis of PSP94 (prostate secretory protein of 94 amino acids) in primates.
DNA Cell Biol. 1997 May;16(5):627-38.
PMID: 9174167 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11025:** [Clever B, Interthal H, Schmuckli-Maurer J, King J, Sigrist M, Heyer WD.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  Recombinational repair in yeast: functional interactions between Rad51 and Rad54 proteins.
EMBO J. 1997 May 1;16(9):2535-44.
PMID: 9171366 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11026:** [Stohwasser R, Standera S, Peters I, Kloetzel PM, Groettrup M.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  Molecular cloning of the mouse proteasome subunits MC14 and MECL-1: reciprocally regulated tissue expression of interferon-gamma-modulated proteasome subunits.
Eur J Immunol. 1997 May;27(5):1182-7.
PMID: 9174609 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11027:** [Zhang Y, Ohashi N, Lee EH, Tamura A, Rikihisa Y.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  Ehrlichia sennetsu groE operon and antigenic properties of the GroEL homolog.
FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 1997 May;18(1):39-46.
PMID: 9215585 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11028:** [Kockel L, Vorbruggen G, Jackle H, Mlodzik M, Bohmann D.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  Requirement for Drosophila 14-3-3 zeta in Raf-dependent photoreceptor development.
Genes Dev. 1997 May 1;11(9):1140-7.
PMID: 9159395 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11029:** [Sheng G, Thouvenot E, Schmucker D, Wilson DS, Desplan C.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  Direct regulation of rhodopsin 1 by Pax-6/eyeless in Drosophila: evidence for a conserved function in photoreceptors.
Genes Dev. 1997 May 1;11(9):1122-31.
PMID: 9159393 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11030:** [Kim J, Ashworth L, Branscomb E, Stubbs L.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  The human homolog of a mouse-imprinted gene, Peg3, maps to a zinc finger gene-rich region of human chromosome 19q13.4.
Genome Res. 1997 May;7(5):532-40.
PMID: 9149948 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11031:** [Vielmetter J, Chen XN, Miskevich F, Lane RP, Yamakawa K, Korenberg JR, Dreyer WJ.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
-  Molecular characterization of human neogenin, a DCC-related protein, and the mapping of its gene (NEO1) to chromosomal position 15q22.3-q23.
Genomics. 1997 May 1;41(3):414-21.
PMID: 9169140 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11032:** [Mori Y, Shiwaku H, Fukushima S, Wakatsuki S, Sato M, Nukiwa T, Horii A.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Alternative splicing of hMSH2 in normal human tissues.
Hum Genet. 1997 May;99(5):590-5.
PMID: 9150723 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11033: [Kawasaki L, Wysong D, Diamond R, Aguirre J.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



Two divergent catalase genes are differentially regulated during *Aspergillus nidulans* development and oxidative stress.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(10):3284-92.
PMID: 9150225 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11034: [Drummelsmith J, Amor PA, Whitfield C.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



Polymorphism, duplication, and IS1-mediated rearrangement in the chromosomal his-rfb-gnd region of *Escherichia coli* strains with group IA and capsular K antigens.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(10):3232-8.
PMID: 9150218 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11035: [Colombi D, Gomes SL.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



An alkB gene homolog is differentially transcribed during the *Caulobacter crescentus* cell cycle.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(10):3139-45.
PMID: 9150207 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11036: [Lunsford RD, Roble AG.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



comYA, a gene similar to comGA of *Bacillus subtilis*, is essential for competence-factor-dependent DNA transformation in *Streptococcus gordonii*.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(10):3122-6.
PMID: 9150204 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11037: [Schulz A, Schwab S, Homuth G, Versteeg S, Schumann W.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



The htpG gene of *Bacillus subtilis* belongs to class III heat shock genes and is under negative control.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(10):3103-9.
PMID: 9150201 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11038: [Okada K, Minehira M, Zhu X, Suzuki K, Nakagawa T, Matsuda H, Kawamukai M.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



The ispB gene encoding octaprenyl diphosphate synthase is essential for growth of *Escherichia coli*.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(9):3058-60.
PMID: 9139929 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]



11039: [Lu CD, Kwon DH, Abdelal AT.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



Identification of greA encoding a transcriptional elongation factor as a member of the carA-orf-carB-greA operon in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1.
J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(9):3043-6.
PMID: 9139926 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]




11040: [Runyen-Janecky LJ, Sample AK, Maleniak TC, West SE.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



A divergently transcribed open reading frame is located upstream of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* vfr gene, a homolog of *Escherichia coli* crp.

J Bacteriol. 1997 May;179(9):2802-9.
PMID: 9139892 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11041:** [Kanazawa M, Terada K, Kato S, Mori M.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



HSDJ, a human homolog of DnaJ, is farnesylated and is involved in protein import into mitochondria.


J Biochem (Tokyo). 1997 May;121(5):890-5.
PMID: 9192730 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11042:** [LaVerda D, Byrne GI.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Use of monoclonal antibodies to facilitate identification, cloning, and purification of Chlamydia trachomatis hsp10.


J Clin Microbiol. 1997 May;35(5):1209-15.
PMID: 9114409 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11043:** [Kolbert CP, Bruinsma ES, Abdulkarim AS, Hofmeister EK, Tompkins RB, Telford SR 3rd, Mitchell PD, Adams-Stich J, Persing DH.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Characterization of an immunoreactive protein from the agent of human granulocytic ehrlichiosis.

J Clin Microbiol. 1997 May;35(5):1172-8.
PMID: 9114402 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11044:** [Nava VE, Cheng EH, Veliuona M, Zou S, Clem RJ, Mayer ML, Hardwick JM.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Herpesvirus saimiri encodes a functional homolog of the human bcl-2 oncogene.

J Virol. 1997 May;71(5):4118-22.
PMID: 9094693 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11045:** [Grewal PK, Hewitt JE.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Fath, the murine homolog of the Drosophila fat tumor suppressor gene, maps to chromosome 8.


Mamm Genome. 1997 May;8(5):383-4. No abstract available.
PMID: 9107695 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11046:** [Allen J, Colleaux L, Davidson D, Graham E, Lee M, Hill R, Abbott C, Gordon C.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Expression and mapping of the mouse S7/Pmsc2 gene, homolog of an essential mitotic gene in yeast.

Mamm Genome. 1997 May;8(5):352-4. No abstract available.
PMID: 9107682 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11047:** [Gerbens F, Rettenberger G, Lenstra JA, Veerkamp JH, te Pas MF.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Characterization, chromosomal localization, and genetic variation of the porcine heart fatty acid-binding protein gene.

Mamm Genome. 1997 May;8(5):328-32.
PMID: 9107676 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11048:** [Lohning C, Nowicka U, Frischauf AM.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



The mouse homolog of PKD1: sequence analysis and alternative splicing.

Mamm Genome. 1997 May;8(5):307-11.
PMID: 9107672 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11049:** [Benassayag C, Seroude L, Boube M, Erard M, Cribbs DL.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




A homeodomain point mutation of the *Drosophila proboscipedia* protein provokes eye loss independently of homeotic function.
Mech Dev. 1997 May;63(2):187-98.
PMID: 9203141 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11050:** [Lee MD, King LS, Agre P.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




The aquaporin family of water channel proteins in clinical medicine.
Medicine (Baltimore). 1997 May;76(3):141-56. Review.
PMID: 9193450 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11051:** [Chandler D, McGuffin ME, Piskur J, Yao J, Baker BS, Mattox W.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Evolutionary conservation of regulatory strategies for the sex determination factor transformer-2.
Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2908-19.
PMID: 9111363 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11052:** [Tran HT, Keen JD, Krickler M, Resnick MA, Gordenin DA.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Hypermutable of homonucleotide runs in mismatch repair and DNA polymerase proofreading yeast mutants.
Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2859-65.
PMID: 9111358 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11053:** [Greene CN, Jinks-Robertson S.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)




Frameshift intermediates in homopolymer runs are removed efficiently by yeast mismatch repair proteins.
Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2844-50.
PMID: 9111356 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11054:** [Fiorentini P, Huang KN, Tishkoff DX, Kolodner RD, Symington LS.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Exonuclease I of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* functions in mitotic recombination in vivo and in vitro.
Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2764-73.
PMID: 9111347 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11055:** [Alani E, Sokolsky T, Studamire B, Miret JJ, Lahue RS.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Genetic and biochemical analysis of Msh2p-Msh6p: role of ATP hydrolysis and Msh2p-Msh6p subunit interactions in mismatch base pair recognition.
Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2436-47.
PMID: 9111312 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11056:** [Lai F, Chen CX, Carter KC, Nishikura K.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Editing of glutamate receptor B subunit ion channel RNAs by four alternatively spliced DRADA2 double-stranded RNA adenosine deaminases.
Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2413-24.
PMID: 9111310 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11057:** [Gruber CA, Rhee JM, Gleiberman A, Turner EE.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



POU domain factors of the Brn-3 class recognize functional DNA elements which are distinctive, symmetrical, and highly conserved in

evolution.

Mol Cell Biol. 1997 May;17(5):2391-400.

PMID: 9111308 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11058:** [Chae SK, Kafer E.](#)


[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Two uvs genes of *Aspergillus nidulans* with different functions in error-prone repair: uvsI, active in mutation-specific reversion, and uvsC, a recA homolog, required for all UV mutagenesis.

Mol Gen Genet. 1997 May;254(6):643-53.

PMID: 9202380 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11059:** [Glennon RA, Young R, Dukat M, Cheng Y.](#)

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Initial characterization of PMMA as a discriminative stimulus.

Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 1997 May-Jun;57(1-2):151-8.

PMID: 9164566 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11060:** [Leung J, Merlot S, Giraudat J.](#)


[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



The Arabidopsis ABSCISIC ACID-INSENSITIVE2 (ABI2) and ABI1 genes encode homologous protein phosphatases 2C involved in abscisic acid signal transduction.

Plant Cell. 1997 May;9(5):759-71.

PMID: 9165752 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11061:** [Sundberg E, Slagter JG, Fridborg I, Cleary SP, Robinson C, Coupland G.](#)

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



ALBINO3, an Arabidopsis nuclear gene essential for chloroplast differentiation, encodes a chloroplast protein that shows homology to proteins present in bacterial membranes and yeast mitochondria.

Plant Cell. 1997 May;9(5):717-30.

PMID: 9165749 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11062:** [Crawford DR, Davies KJ.](#)

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Modulation of a cardiogenic shock inducible RNA by chemical stress: adapt73/PigHep3.

Surgery. 1997 May;121(5):581-7.

PMID: 9142159 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11063:** [Jons A, Gerdtz V, Lange E, Kaden V, Mettenleiter TC.](#)

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Attenuation of dUTPase-deficient pseudorabies virus for the natural host.

Vet Microbiol. 1997 May;56(1-2):47-54.

PMID: 9228681 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11064:** [Lee IH, Ogrydziak DM.](#)

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Yarrowia lipolytica SRP54 homolog and translocation of Kar2p.

Yeast. 1997 May;13(6):499-513.

PMID: 9178502 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11065:** [Seong KY, Chae SK, Kang HS.](#)

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Cloning of an *E. coli* RecA and yeast RAD51 homolog, radA, an allele of the uvsC in *Aspergillus nidulans* and its mutator effects.

Mol Cells. 1997 Apr 30;7(2):284-9.


PMID: 9163746 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

[Dore S, Kar S, Quirion R.](#)

 **11066:**[Related Articles, Links](#)

Insulin-like growth factor I protects and rescues hippocampal neurons against beta-amyloid- and human amylin-induced toxicity.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997 Apr 29;94(9):4772-7.
PMID: 9114067 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11067:** [Sanchez LM, Lopez-Otin C, Bjorkman PJ.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

Biochemical characterization and crystalization of human Zn-alpha2-glycoprotein, a soluble class I major histocompatibility complex homolog.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997 Apr 29;94(9):4626-30.
PMID: 9114041 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11068:** [Wilson M, Bengten E, Miller NW, Clem LW, Du Pasquier L, Warr GW.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

A novel chimeric Ig heavy chain from a teleost fish shares similarities to IgD.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997 Apr 29;94(9):4593-7.
PMID: 9114035 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11069:** [Yang JH, Sklar P, Axel R, Maniatis T.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

Purification and characterization of a human RNA adenosine deaminase for glutamate receptor B pre-mRNA editing.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997 Apr 29;94(9):4354-9.
PMID: 9113993 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11070:** [Cabezon E, Sastre JJ, de la Cruz F.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)


Genetic evidence of a coupling role for the TraG protein family in bacterial conjugation.

Mol Gen Genet. 1997 Apr 28;254(4):400-6.
PMID: 9180693 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11071:** [Matecki A, Pawelczyk T.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

Regulation of phospholipase C delta1 by sphingosine.

Biochim Biophys Acta. 1997 Apr 26;1325(2):287-96.
PMID: 9168154 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11072:** [Ballesterio RP, Wilmot GR, Agranoff BW, Uhler MD.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

gRICH68 and gRICH70 are 2',3'-cyclic-nucleotide 3'-phosphodiesterases induced during goldfish optic nerve regeneration.

J Biol Chem. 1997 Apr 25;272(17):11479-86.
PMID: 9111061 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11073:** [Carver LA, Bradfield CA.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

Ligand-dependent interaction of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor with a novel immunophilin homolog in vivo.

J Biol Chem. 1997 Apr 25;272(17):11452-6.
PMID: 9111057 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11074:** [Johnson KA, Fink SP, Marnett LJ.](#)[Related Articles, Links](#)

Repair of propanodeoxyguanosine by nucleotide excision repair in vivo and in vitro.

J Biol Chem. 1997 Apr 25;272(17):11434-8.
PMID: 9111054 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11075:** [Hu SH, Peek JA, Rattigan E, Taylor RK, Martin JL.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Structure of TcpG, the DsbA protein folding catalyst from *Vibrio cholerae*.

J Mol Biol. 1997 Apr 25;268(1):137-46.

PMID: 9149147 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11076:** [Salamov AA, Solovyev VV.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Protein secondary structure prediction using local alignments.

J Mol Biol. 1997 Apr 25;268(1):31-6.

PMID: 9149139 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11077:** [Lingner J, Hughes TR, Shevchenko A, Mann M, Lundblad V, Cech TR.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Reverse transcriptase motifs in the catalytic subunit of telomerase.

Science. 1997 Apr 25;276(5312):561-7.

PMID: 9110970 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]


 **11078:** [Sahu GK, Chowdhury R, Das J.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



The rpoH gene encoding sigma 32 homolog of *Vibrio cholerae*.

Gene. 1997 Apr 21;189(2):203-7.

PMID: 9168128 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11079:** [Bezzubova O, Silbergleit A, Yamaguchi-Iwai Y, Takeda S, Buerstedde JM.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Reduced X-ray resistance and homologous recombination frequencies in a RAD54-/- mutant of the chicken DT40 cell line.

Cell. 1997 Apr 18;89(2):185-93.

PMID: 9108474 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11080:** [Harrison CJ, Hayer-Hartl M, Di Liberto M, Hartl F, Kuriyan J.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Crystal structure of the nucleotide exchange factor GrpE bound to the ATPase domain of the molecular chaperone DnaK.

Science. 1997 Apr 18;276(5311):431-5.

PMID: 9103205 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]


 **11081:** [Horst M, Oppliger W, Rospert S, Schonfeld HJ, Schatz G, Azem A.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Sequential action of two hsp70 complexes during protein import into mitochondria.

EMBO J. 1997 Apr 15;16(8):1842-9.

PMID: 9155010 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]


 **11082:** [Shieh JC, Wilkinson MG, Buck V, Morgan BA, Makino K, Millar JB.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



The Mcs4 response regulator coordinately controls the stress-activated Wak1-Wis1-Sty1 MAP kinase pathway and fission yeast cell cycle.

Genes Dev. 1997 Apr 15;11(8):1008-22.

PMID: 9136929 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]










 **11083:** [Martinez de Arrieta C, Perez Jurado L, Bernal J, Coloma A.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)



Structure, organization, and chromosomal mapping of the human neurogranin gene (NRGN).

Genomics. 1997 Apr 15;41(2):243-9.

PMID: 9143500 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11084:** [Chen H, Rossier C, Nakamura Y, Lynn A, Chakravarti A, Antonarakis SE.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
Cloning of a novel homeobox-containing gene, PKNOX1, and mapping to human chromosome 21q22.3.
Genomics. 1997 Apr 15;41(2):193-200.
PMID: 9143494 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11085:** [Ramos W, Tappe N, Talamantez J, Friedberg EC, Tomkinson AE.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
Two distinct DNA ligase activities in mitotic extracts of the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
Nucleic Acids Res. 1997 Apr 15;25(8):1485-92.
PMID: 9092653 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11086:** [Landis G, Kelley R, Spradling AC, Tower J.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
The k43 gene, required for chorion gene amplification and diploid cell chromosome replication, encodes the *Drosophila* homolog of yeast origin recognition complex subunit 2.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997 Apr 15;94(8):3888-92.
PMID: 9108074 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11087:** [Lewis JB, Thompson YG, Feng X, Holden VR, O'Callaghan D, Caughman GB.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
Structural and antigenic identification of the ORF12 protein (alpha TIF) of equine herpesvirus 1.
Virology. 1997 Apr 14;230(2):369-75.
PMID: 9143293 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11088:** [Tidona CA, Darai G.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
The complete DNA sequence of lymphocystis disease virus.
Virology. 1997 Apr 14;230(2):207-16.
PMID: 9143276 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11089:** [Tauschek M, Hamilton CW, Hall LA, Chomvarin C, Fyfe JA, Davies JK.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
Transcriptional analysis of the groESL operon of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*.
Gene. 1997 Apr 11;189(1):107-12.
PMID: 9161420 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11090:** [Kaneko Y, Kimura T, Kishishita M, Noda Y, Fujita J.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
Cloning of apg-2 encoding a novel member of heat shock protein 110 family.
Gene. 1997 Apr 11;189(1):19-24.
PMID: 9161406 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11091:** [Illing M, Molday LL, Molday RS.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
The 220-kDa rim protein of retinal rod outer segments is a member of the ABC transporter superfamily.
J Biol Chem. 1997 Apr 11;272(15):10303-10.
PMID: 9092582 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]
-  **11092:** [O'Neill TJ, Zhu Y, Gustafson TA.](#) [Related Articles, Links](#)
Interaction of MAD2 with the carboxyl terminus of the insulin receptor but not with the IGFIR. Evidence for release from the insulin

receptor after activation.

J Biol Chem. 1997 Apr 11;272(15):10035-40.

PMID: 9092546 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11093:** [Rice DW, Eisenberg D.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



A 3D-1D substitution matrix for protein fold recognition that includes predicted secondary structure of the sequence.

J Mol Biol. 1997 Apr 11;267(4):1026-38.

PMID: 9135128 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11094:** [Higgy NA, Tarnasky HA, Valarche I, Nepveu A, van der Hoorn FA.](#)


[Related Articles, Links](#)



Cux/CDP homeodomain protein binds to an enhancer in the rat c-mos locus and represses its activity.

Biochim Biophys Acta. 1997 Apr 10;1351(3):313-24.

PMID: 9130595 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11095:** [Vibhakkar R, Juan G, Traganos F, Darzynkiewicz Z, Finger LR.](#)


[Related Articles, Links](#)



Activation-induced expression of human programmed death-1 gene in T-lymphocytes.

Exp Cell Res. 1997 Apr 10;232(1):25-8.

PMID: 9141617 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11096:** [Barouch W, Prasad K, Greene L, Eisenberg E.](#)


[Related Articles, Links](#)



Auxilin-induced interaction of the molecular chaperone Hsc70 with clathrin baskets.

Biochemistry. 1997 Apr 8;36(14):4303-8.

PMID: 9100026 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11097:** [King C, Eisenberg E, Greene L.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



Effect of yeast and human DnaJ homologs on clathrin uncoating by 70 kilodalton heat shock protein.

Biochemistry. 1997 Apr 8;36(14):4067-73.

PMID: 9099999 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11098:** [Hay JC, Chao DS, Kuo CS, Scheller RH.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



Protein interactions regulating vesicle transport between the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus in mammalian cells.

Cell. 1997 Apr 4;89(1):149-58.

PMID: 9094723 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11099:** [Dibrov E, Robinson KM, Lemire BD.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



The COQ5 gene encodes a yeast mitochondrial protein necessary for ubiquinone biosynthesis and the assembly of the respiratory chain.

J Biol Chem. 1997 Apr 4;272(14):9175-81.

PMID: 9083048 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11100:** [Zhang R, Zhang H, Zhu W, Pardee AB, Coffey RJ Jr, Liang P.](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)



Mob-1, a Ras target gene, is overexpressed in colorectal cancer.

Oncogene. 1997 Apr 3;14(13):1607-10.

PMID: 9129152 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11101:** [Furuta M, Schrader JO, Schrader HS, Kokjohn TA, Nyaga S, McCullough AK, Lloyd RS, Burbank DE, Landstein D,](#)

[Related Articles, Links](#)

Lane L, Van Etten JL.



Chlorella virus PBCV-1 encodes a homolog of the bacteriophage T4 UV damage repair gene denV.

Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997 Apr;63(4):1551-6.

PMID: 9097450 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11102:** Garvey P, Rince A, Hill C, Fitzgerald GF.


[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Identification of a recA homolog (recALP) on the conjugative lactococcal phage resistance plasmid pNP40: evidence of a role for chromosomally encoded recAL in abortive infection.

Appl Environ Microbiol. 1997 Apr;63(4):1244-51.

PMID: 9097419 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11103:** Holley SA, Ferguson EL.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Fish are like flies are like frogs: conservation of dorsal-ventral patterning mechanisms.

Bioessays. 1997 Apr;19(4):281-4. Review.

PMID: 9136625 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11104:** Lowsky R, DeCoteau JF, Reitmair AH, Ichinohasama R, Dong WF, Xu Y, Mak TW, Kadin ME, Minden MD.


[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Defects of the mismatch repair gene MSH2 are implicated in the development of murine and human lymphoblastic lymphomas and are associated with the aberrant expression of rhombotin-2 (Lmo-2) and Tal-1 (SCL).

Blood. 1997 Apr 1;89(7):2276-82.

PMID: 9116269 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11105:** Fan SS, Ready DF.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Glued participates in distinct microtubule-based activities in Drosophila eye development.

Development. 1997 Apr;124(8):1497-507.

PMID: 9108366 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11106:** Kandpal G, Jacob AN, Bhargava AK, Kandpal RP.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Expression of protein kinase regulator genes in human ear and cloning of a gamma subtype of the 14-3-3 family of proteins.

DNA Cell Biol. 1997 Apr;16(4):455-62.

PMID: 9150433 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11107:** Rudiger S, Germeroth L, Schneider-Mergener J, Bukau B.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Substrate specificity of the DnaK chaperone determined by screening cellulose-bound peptide libraries.

EMBO J. 1997 Apr 1;16(7):1501-7.

PMID: 9130695 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11108:** Zahn S, Zwirner J, Spengler HP, Gotze O.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Chemoattractant receptors for interleukin-8 and C5a: expression on peripheral blood leukocytes and differential regulation on HL-60 and AML-193 cells by vitamin D3 and all-trans retinoic acid.

Eur J Immunol. 1997 Apr;27(4):935-40.

PMID: 9130647 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

 **11109:** Spring FA, Holmes CH, Simpson KL, Mawby WJ, Mattes

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)

MJ, Okubo Y, Parsons SF.



The Oka blood group antigen is a marker for the M6 leukocyte activation antigen, the human homolog of OX-47 antigen, basigin and neurothelin, an immunoglobulin superfamily molecule that is widely expressed in human cells and tissues.

Eur J Immunol. 1997 Apr;27(4):891-7.
PMID: 9130641 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11110:** Arnaud J, Sorensen KI.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



The isolation of novel heat shock genes in *Lactococcus lactis* using RNA subtractive hybridization.

Gene. 1997 Apr 1;188(2):229-34.
PMID: 9133596 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11111:** Xie J, Quinn A, Zhang X, Bare J, Rothman A, Collins C, Cutone S, Rutter M, McCormick MK, Epstein E Jr.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Physical mapping of the 5 Mb D9S196-D9S180 interval harboring the basal cell nevus syndrome gene and localization of six genes in this region.

Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1997 Apr;18(4):305-9.
PMID: 9087571 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11112:** Wu Y, Nystrom-Lahti M, Osinga J, Looman MW, Peltomaki P, Aaltonen LA, de la Chapelle A, Hofstra RM, Buys CH.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



MSH2 and MLH1 mutations in sporadic replication error-positive colorectal carcinoma as assessed by two-dimensional DNA electrophoresis.

Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 1997 Apr;18(4):269-78.
PMID: 9087566 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11113:** Sutcliffe JS, Jiang YH, Galijaard RJ, Matsuura T, Fang P, Kubota T, Christian SL, Bressler J, Cattanaach B, Ledbetter DH, Beaudet AL.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



The E6-Ap ubiquitin-protein ligase (UBE3A) gene is localized within a narrowed Angelman syndrome critical region.

Genome Res. 1997 Apr;7(4):368-77.
PMID: 9110176 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11114:** Clines GA, Ashley JA, Shah S, Lovett M.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



The structure of the human multiple exostoses 2 gene and characterization of homologs in mouse and *Caenorhabditis elegans*.

Genome Res. 1997 Apr;7(4):359-67.
PMID: 9110175 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11115:** DiDonato CJ, Chen XN, Noya D, Korenberg JR, Nadeau JH, Simard LR.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Cloning, characterization, and copy number of the murine survival motor neuron gene: homolog of the spinal muscular atrophy-determining gene.

Genome Res. 1997 Apr;7(4):339-52.
PMID: 9110173 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

☐ **11116:** Mumm S, Molini B, Terrell J, Srivastava A, Schlessinger D.

[Related Articles](#), [Links](#)



Evolutionary features of the 4-Mb Xq21.3 XY homology region revealed by a map at 60-kb resolution.


Genome Res. 1997 Apr;7(4):307-14.
PMID: 9110170 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11117:** [Kawar Z, Herscovics A, Jarvis DL.](#) Related Articles, Links



Isolation and characterization of an alpha 1,2-mannosidase cDNA from the lepidopteran insect cell line Sf9.


Glycobiology. 1997 Apr;7(3):433-43.
PMID: 9147053 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11118:** [Wehrenberg U, Wulff C, Husen B, Morohashi K, Rune GM.](#) Related Articles, Links



The expression of sf-1/Ad4BP is related to the process of luteinization in the marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) ovary.


Histochem Cell Biol. 1997 Apr;107(4):345-50.
PMID: 9151117 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11119:** [Dunn BE, Vakil NB, Schneider BG, Miller MM, Zitzer JB, Peutz T, Phadnis SH.](#) Related Articles, Links



Localization of *Helicobacter pylori* urease and heat shock protein in human gastric biopsies.

Infect Immun. 1997 Apr;65(4):1181-8.
PMID: 9119449 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11120:** [Mizutani A, Nakagawa N, Hitomi K, Tsukagoshi N.](#) Related Articles, Links



Ascorbate-dependent expression of ubiquitin genes in guinea pigs.

Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 1997 Apr;29(4):575-82.
PMID: 9363634 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11121:** [Connolly DJ, Patel K, Cooke J.](#) Related Articles, Links



Chick noggin is expressed in the organizer and neural plate during axial development, but offers no evidence of involvement in primary axis formation.

Int J Dev Biol. 1997 Apr;41(2):389-96.
PMID: 9184349 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11122:** [Ropp PA, Nicholas RA.](#) Related Articles, Links



Cloning and characterization of the ponA gene encoding penicillin-binding protein 1 from *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Neisseria meningitidis*.

J Bacteriol. 1997 Apr;179(8):2783-7.
PMID: 9098083 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11123:** [Rajgarhia VB, Strohl WR.](#) Related Articles, Links



Minimal *Streptomyces* sp. strain C5 daunorubicin polyketide biosynthesis genes required for aklanonic acid biosynthesis.

J Bacteriol. 1997 Apr;179(8):2690-6.
PMID: 9098068 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11124:** [Dhandayuthapani S, Mudd M, Deretic V.](#) Related Articles, Links



Interactions of OxyR with the promoter region of the oxyR and ahpC genes from *Mycobacterium leprae* and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.

J Bacteriol. 1997 Apr;179(7):2401-9.
PMID: 9079928 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

-  **11125:** [Roberts RC, Shapiro L.](#) Related Articles, Links